

### NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSES

## **EARTHQUAKE SEISMOLOGY**

**Dr. Mohit Agrawal** 

**Department of Applied Geophysics , IIT(ISM) Dhanbad** 

Module 05 : Refraction and Reflection seismology Lecture 02: Refraction seismology in dipping interfaces and crustal structure

# **CONCEPTS COVERED**

#### ➢ Recap

- Refracted waves for the dipping layers case
- Crustal Structure infer from the refraction seismology
- > Summary



#### Recap

- A major application of seismology is the determination of the distribution of seismic velocities, and hence elastic properties, within the earth.
- Refraction seismology is concerned about the travel time of critically refracted wave at the interface of two medium, to identify the depth of interface, velocity of the layer and underlying half space.
- Travel time as a function of offset  $T_D = x/v_0$

$$T_R^2(x) = rac{x^2}{v_0^2} + 4rac{h_0^2}{v_0^2} 
onumber \ T_H(x) = rac{x}{v_1} + 2h_o igg(rac{1}{v_o^2} - rac{1}{v_1^2}igg)^{1/2} = rac{x}{v_1} + au_1$$

• The thickness of successive layers can be found by starting with the top layer:

$$h_{n-1} = rac{ au_n - 2\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}h_i \Big(rac{1}{v_j^2} - rac{1}{v_n^2}\Big)^{1/2}}{2\Big(rac{1}{v_{n-1}^2} - rac{1}{v_n^2}\Big)^{1/2}}$$



#### **Refraction Seismology** For dipping layers

- The refraction method can also be applied if the interfaces between layers are not horizontal.
- Conducting a reversed profile yields the travel times for ray paths in both the down-dip and the up-dip directions.
- Since we do not know the orientation of the interface, i.e. updip and downdip directions, so we arbitrarily consider one as forward and other as reverse direction.
- When a refractor dips, the slope of travel-time curve does not represent the "true" layer velocity.
- Shooting updip, i.e., geophones are on updip side of shot, apparent refractor velocity is higher.



Figure 3.2-12: Difference between up-dip and down-dip paths.



Same travel times down-dip and up-dip



Source Receiver

> Different travel times down-dip and up-dip

**Left:** If the source and the receiver are interchanged on a reversed refraction profile, the travel time is unchanged. (Principle of reciprocity)

**Right:** Different up-dip and down-dip travel times occur because, for a given source position, waves going the same distance along the surface in opposite directions sample the dipping interface differently.

#### **Refraction Seismology** For dipping layers

In this geometry, the depths to the interface below the source and the receiver differ due to the dip angle,  $\theta$ 

Figure 3.2-10: Ray paths for a dipping layer over a halfspace.

Source x Receiver  $perestimation h_d$   $h_d$   $i_c$   $v_0$   $i_c$   $i_c$  x  $sin \theta$  $h_d$   $tan i_c$   $h_d$   $h_d$ 

Consider, the down-dip ray path (Fig. 3.2-10) from a source, below which the perpendicular distance to the interface is  $h_d$ , to a receiver at a distance x, below which the perpendicular distance to the interface is  $(h_d + x \sin \theta)$ .



$$T_{d}(x) = \underbrace{\frac{x \cos \theta - (2h_{d} + x \sin \theta) \tan i_{c}}{v_{1}}}_{\text{for a constraint}} + \underbrace{\frac{2h_{d} + x \sin \theta}{v_{o} \cos i_{c}}}_{\text{for a constraint}}$$
e of head the formula of the interface of the adown dip the interface and downgoing wave

Travel time of head wave in downdip direction

$$T_d(x) = rac{x\cos heta\sin i_c}{v_o} + rac{(2h_d+x\sin heta)ig(1-\sin^2 i_cig)}{v_o\cos i_c} \ = rac{x\sin (i_c+ heta)}{v_o} + rac{2h_d\cos i_c}{v_o} = rac{x}{v_d} + au_d$$

which is a equation of straight line with slope 1/v<sub>d</sub> and intercept  $\tau_d$ 

Similarly, travel time of head wave in updip direction
$$T_u(x)=rac{x\sin{(i_c- heta)}}{v_o}+rac{2h_u\cos{i_c}}{v_o}=rac{x}{v_u}+ au_u$$



The apparent velocity in updip and downdip direction are given as  $v_u = rac{v_o}{\sin{(i_c- heta)}}$   $v_d = rac{v_o}{\sin{(i_c+ heta)}}$ 

Figure 3.2-11: Travel time plot for up-dip and down-dip profiles.



 $h_d$  $V_0$ h,,  $V_1$ 

The apparent velocity in the up-dip direction is greater than the half space velocity, and that in the down-dip direction is smaller. Time axis intercept  $au_u = rac{2h_u\cos i_c}{v_o}, \quad au_d = rac{2h_d\cos i_c}{v_o}$ also differ. The direct wave travel time is the same in both

directions, so the crossover distances differ.

Travel time plot for a reversed profile and its interpretation. The up-dip and downdip slopes and intercepts differ.



The slopes of the direct and head wave travel times yield the dip angle

$$egin{aligned} & heta &= rac{1}{2} igg( \sin^{-1} rac{v_o}{v_d} - \sin^{-1} rac{v_o}{v_u} igg) \ & i_c &= rac{1}{2} igg( \sin^{-1} rac{v_o}{v_d} + \sin^{-1} rac{v_o}{v_u} igg) \end{aligned}$$

The halfspace velocity  $v_1$  is found from the critical angle and  $v_0$ , and the intercept times then yield the layer thickness.

By reciprocity, the two experiments give the same travel time. Thus, for a ray path connecting

two points, it does not matter whether the wave travels up-dip or down-dip.



- By contrast, for two receivers at the same distance from a source, one up-dip and one downdip, the travel times differ because the ray paths encounter the dipping interface at different depths.
- The travel times for two sources at the same distance from a receiver, one up-dip and one down-dip.
- If the dip were zero, then the travel times would be the same for all these cases because all ray paths encounter the interface at the same depth.
- For a flat geometry the travel time depends only on the distance between the source and the receiver.
- The dip found from a reversed profile is not a true dip if the profile is not perpendicular to the strike of the layer. Instead, the measured dip is an apparent dip along the profile.



#### **Crustal Structure from Refraction Seismology**

igure 3.2-5: Example of seismograms from a refraction profile.



- Seismic refraction data led A. Mohorovicic in 1909, observing two P arrivals, he identified the first as having traveled in a deep high-velocity (7.7 km/s) layer, and the second as a direct wave in a slower (5.6 km/s) shallow layer about 50 km thick.
- These layers, are known as the crust and the mantle. The boundary between them is known as the Mohorovicic discontinuity, or Moho.
- The arrival times are head wave as P<sub>n</sub> and the direct wave as P<sub>g</sub> ("g" for "granitic"). Similarly, for S-waves it is S<sub>n</sub> and S<sub>g</sub>.



### What are P<sub>n</sub> velocities?

- P<sub>n</sub> is a seismic phase that travels at the crust-mantle interface. The apparent velocity of P<sub>n</sub> gives an average of the mantle velocity along the path that it travelled.
- $P_n$  is the first arrival at the distances b/w 2<sup>o</sup> and 16<sup>o</sup>.
- slow P<sub>n</sub> warm upper mantle.
- fast  $P_n cool$  upper mantle.









So, reduced travel time plot provides an easy way to distinguish between velocities.

This is a reduced travel time plot.

**Record section:** Seismograms plotted by location of seismometer from the source.

**Moveout:** change in phase location as a function of distance.

Reduced velocity: Time axis is changed to a horizontal line corresponds to a specific velocity (in this case 6 km/s).

Pg - Phases with moveout that have positive slopes, are seeing Vp<6 km/s because they are travelling in crust.

Pn - Phases with moveout having negative slopes are seeing Vp> 6 km/s are travelling in mantle.



Figure 3.2-15: Modeling ocean crustal layers with synthetic seismograms.







Top: Oceanic crust model with sharp transitions between layer 1 (water), layer 2 (unconsolidated sediment), layer 3 (crustal rock), and the mantle. Center

Synthetic seismograms for this model.  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , and  $P_n$  are head waves from layers 2, 3, and the mantle.  $P_3P$  and  $P_mP$  are reflections off the tops of layer 3 and the mantle.

Data showing an absence of the large P<sub>3</sub>P arrivals predicted by the layered model.



Dr. Mohit Agrawal

- Velocity structures are often interpreted in terms of composition.
- To do this, seismological results are combined with other geophysical data (e.g., gravity), geological fieldwork, and laboratory studies of the seismic velocities of rocks.
- Interpreting seismological results for the crust and mantle in terms of composition requires knowing something about rocks and the minerals that compose them.
- Physical properties of rocks, such as density and seismic velocity, depend on their mineral composition.



# REFERENCES

- Stein, Seth, and Michael Wysession. An introduction to seismology, earthquakes, and earth structure. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- Lowrie, William, and Andreas Fichtner. Fundamentals of geophysics. Cambridge university press,
   2020.
- Kearey, Philip, Michael Brooks, and Ian Hill. An introduction to geophysical exploration. Vol. 4. John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- https://www.wikipedia.org/
- Seismology course, Professor Derek Schutt, Colorado State Univ., USA.



