

### NPTEL ONLINE CERTIFICATION COURSES

## **EARTHQUAKE SEISMOLOGY**

**Dr. Mohit Agrawal** 

**Department of Applied Geophysics**, IIT(ISM) Dhanbad

Module 11: Source parameters, Earthquake statistics Lecture 03: Magnitude scaling relations and Stress drop

# **CONCEPTS COVERED**

- > Scaling relation
- Stress Drop
  - For different fault geometry
  - Uncertainty Estimation
- > Summary



- The relations between the moment and various magnitudes arise from the spectrum of the radiated seismic waves
- Seismic moment is the scale factor for the spectral amplitude at low frequencies  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ . This is the reason why it is also called the "static" moment and defined as the  $M_0 = \mu \bar{D}S = \mu \bar{D}fL^2$

Here, the fault area is written in terms of a shape factor f and the square of a dimension L

- The rupture time needed for the rupture to propagate along the fault is approximately  $T_R = L/v_R$
- The rise time needed for the dislocation to reach its full value at any point on the fault has been predicted to be about

$$T_D = \mu ar{D} / (eta \Delta \sigma) = 16 f^{(1/2)} / ig(7 eta \pi^{1.5}ig)$$

where  $\Delta \sigma$  is the stress drop in the earthquake



- The moment, M<sub>0</sub>, determines the zero-frequency level, which rises as the earthquake becomes larger.
- Once the moment exceeds about  $5 \times 10^{27}$  dyn-cm, 20 s is to the right of the second corner, on the  $\omega^{-2}$  portion of the spectrum. Thus M<sub>s</sub> saturates at about 8.2, even if the moment increases. M<sub>s</sub> saturates even as the moment and fault areas increase.
- m<sub>b</sub> saturates at a lower moment (about 10<sub>25</sub> dyn-cm), and remains about 6 even for much larger earthquakes.





#### **Scaling relations**

 Table 4.6-2
 Earthquake scaling relations.

$m_b$ and $M_s$ are related by	
$m_b = M_s + 1.33$	
$m_b = 0.67 M_s + 2.28$	
$m_{b} = 0.33 M_{s} + 3.91$	
$m_{b}^{2} = 6.00$	

 $M_s < 2.86$ 2.86 <  $M_s < 4.90$ 4.90 <  $M_s < 6.27$ 6.27 <  $M_s$ .

Assuming L = 2W,  $M_s$  and fault area (in km²) are related by $\log S = 0.67M_s - 2.28$  $M_s < 6.76$  $\log S = M_s - 4.53$  $6.76 < M_s < 8.12$  $\log S = 2M_s - 12.65$  $8.12 < M_s < 8.22$  $M_s = 8.22$  $S > 6080 \text{ km}^2$ .

Assuming a stress drop of 50 bars,  $\log M_0$  (in dyn-cm) and  $M_s$  are related by

$\log M_0 = M_s + 18.89$	<i>M</i> <sub>s</sub> < 6.76
$\log M_0 = 1.5M_s + 15.51$	6.76 < <i>M</i> <sub>s</sub> < 8.12
$\log M_0 = 3M_s + 3.33$	$8.12 < M_s < 8.22$
$M_s = 8.22$	$\log M_0 > 28.$

Source: Geller (1976).

- → Scaling relations provide insight into the relation between source parameters.
- → These are used to estimate source parameters for earthquakes that have not yet occurred, or for which parameters of interest are unknown.

#### **Scaling relations**

Figure 4.6-7: Empirical relations between slip, fault length, and moment.



- → These relations do not provide reasoning behind magnitude saturation but they offer useful inferences about past and potential earthquakes.
- → For example, 100 km long fault would have an average slip of 2m and M<sub>w</sub> about 7.4.
- → Whereas 10 km long fault will have 0.3 m slip and M<sub>w</sub> about 6.2.



The relationship between the slip in an earthquake, its fault dimensions, and its seismic moment is closely tied to the magnitude of the stress released by the earthquake, or stress drop.

The earthquake releases the strain that has accumulated over time near the fault, so the radiated seismic waves are used to estimate the stress change.

To do this, let's assume earthquake's slip, D, occurs on a fault with characteristic dimension L, and so causes a strain change of approximately

$$\epsilon_{xx} = rac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} pprox rac{ar{D}}{L},$$

so the stress drop averaged over the fault is approximately

 $\Delta\sigmapprox \mu ar{D}/L.$ 



The best-constrained quantity is the seismic moment, so we estimate the average slip,  $\mathbf{\bar{D}}$ , from the seismic moment as

$$ar{D}pprox cM_0/ig(\mu L^2ig),$$

"c" is a factor depending on the fault's shape.

Thus, stress drop is proportional to the moment and inversely proportional to the fault dimension cubed or the 3/2 power of the fault area:

$$\Delta\sigma=cM_0/L^3=cM_0/S^{3/2}$$

The specific relation and values of c depend on the fault shape and the rupture direction.



For example, the stress drop on a circular fault with a radius R is

$$\Delta \sigma = rac{7}{16} rac{M_0}{R^3}$$

and strike-slip on a rectangular fault with length L and width w yields

$$\Delta \sigma = rac{2}{\pi} rac{M_0}{w^2 L},$$

and dip-slip on a rectangular fault gives

$$\Delta \sigma = rac{4(\lambda+\mu)}{\pi(\lambda+2\mu)} rac{M_0}{w^2 L} pprox rac{8}{3\pi} rac{M_0}{w^2 L}, \quad ext{ for } m \lambda = m \mu \,.$$

These equations let us estimate the stress drop from an observed seismic moment and inferred fault dimensions.



- → To estimate the stress drop, one may use the spectrum to identify corner frequencies and estimate the rupture time and hence fault dimensions.
- → M<sub>w</sub> 7.1 earthquake occurring at 165 km depth in the subduction zone beneath Mexico.
- → Analysis of the spectrum with a single corner frequency model and assuming a circular fault with rupture velocity of 3 km/s, yielded a rupture duration of 22 s and a stress drop of about 65 bars.





 In many cases the spectrum is not directly amenable to corner frequency analyses.

 The deep earthquake the spectrum of the direct P wave could be found without contamination from laterarriving surface reflections.





Figure 4.6-10: Effect of source time function and stress drop on synthetic seismograms.



Stress drop (bars) 4698

587

174

73

22

 As shown in the left figure, the seismogram depends only moderately on the source time function.

However, small differences in time function duration correspond to larger differences in stress drop, even for an assumed rupture velocity and fault geometry.

#### **Stress drop** Points to remember

- Compared to ridge earthquakes, transform earthquakes often have large M<sub>s</sub> relative to m<sub>b</sub> and large M<sub>w</sub> relative to M<sub>s</sub>, suggesting that seismic wave energy is relatively greater at longer periods.
- Earthquakes that preferentially radiate at longer periods are called "slow" earthquakes.
- Slow earthquakes underwater in the appropriate locations and focal geometry can cause very large tsunamis that are not predicted by tsunami warning systems based on real-time assessments of m<sub>b</sub> or M<sub>s</sub>.



### Mandariga faulting model

To estimate stress drop, we need a faulting model. Perhaps the most widely used in that of Mandariga (1976).

Assumptions: circular fault, and rupture velocity vr = 0.9b.

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{7}{16} \left( \frac{f_c}{k\beta} \right)^3 M_0$$

where fc is the corner frequency, k = 0.32 for P-waves and 0.21 for S-waves, and b is the Swave velocity rupture velocity vr = 0.9b.





It is important to recognize that stress drop may only be a small portion of the total stress that the fault rock can withstand. Generally it's thought that an earthquake does not relieve all of the stress on a fault.

This means that when a rupture starts we don't know how big of an earthquake will occur.



- The relationship between the slip in an earthquake, its fault dimensions, and its seismic moment is closely tied to the magnitude of the stress released by the earthquake, or stress drop.
- The best-constrained quantity is the seismic moment, so we estimate the averag $ar{m{D}}$ slip, , from the seismic moment as  $ar{D}pprox cM_0/(\mu L^2),$

"c" is a factor depending on the fault's shape.

- Stress drop is given as:  $\Delta\sigma=cM_0/L^3=cM_0/S^{3/2}$
- The stress drop on a circular fault with a radius R is  $\Delta \sigma = rac{7}{16} rac{M_0}{R^3}$
- Stress drop on strike-slip on a rectangular fault with length L and width w yields

$$\Delta \sigma = rac{2}{\pi} rac{M_0}{w^2 L},$$



- Stress drop on dip-slip on a rectangular fault gives
- $\Delta \sigma = rac{4(\lambda+\mu)}{\pi(\lambda+2\mu)}rac{M_0}{w^2L}$
- Small differences in time function duration correspond to larger differences in stress drop, even for an assumed rupture velocity and fault geometry.
- The standard deviation, or uncertainty, in the stress drop is thus approximately

$$\sigma_f^2 = \sigma_c^2 igg(rac{\partial f}{\partial c}igg)^2 + \sigma_{M_0}^2 igg(rac{\partial f}{\partial M_0}igg)^2 + \sigma_{v_R}^2 igg(rac{\partial f}{\partial v_R}igg)^2 + \sigma_{T_R}^2 igg(rac{\partial f}{\partial T_R}igg)^2$$

- Stress drop both characterizes earthquake source spectra and gives insight into the physics of faulting.
- The ratio of the slip to fault length is constant indicates that strain release in earthquakes is roughly constant, at about  $~\epsilon_{xx}pprox ar{D}/Lpprox \Delta\sigma/\mupprox 10^{-4}$



# REFERENCES

- Stein, Seth, and Michael Wysession. An introduction to seismology, earthquakes, and earth  $\succ$ structure. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- Lowrie, William, and Andreas Fichtner. Fundamentals of geophysics. Cambridge university press,  $\succ$ 2020.
- Kearey, Philip, Michael Brooks, and Ian Hill. An introduction to geophysical exploration. Vol. 4.  $\succ$ John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- https://geologyscience.com/geology-branches/structural-geology/stress-and-strain/  $\succ$
- https://www.wikipedia.org/  $\succ$
- Seismology course, Professor Derek Schutt, Colorado State Univ., USA.





