

A Basic Course in Number Theory
Professor Shripad Garge
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay
Lecture 51
Dirichlet's Approximation Theorem

Welcome back, we are looking at continued fractions, and we would like to approximate every real number by continued fractions. But to begin with, we were looking at, towards the end of our last lecture, we were looking at approximating real numbers by means of rational numbers. Ofcourse, we know that every rational is a continued fraction. And so, it is clear that every real number can be approximated by continued fractions.

But what we would want to have is that the continued fractions that you get to approximate any real θ is obtained just by adding one last entry at each level. That is the expansion, that is the limit of that is the sequence that we would like to construct to approximate any real θ . So, we are not just looking at any set of, any limit of continued fractions approximating a given real number θ . But we would like to have this continued fractions to be related to each other in the sense that the n th continued fraction is obtained from the $n - 1$ th only by adding one last entry at the last level. So, this is now how we would want to do.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:37)

We will soon see that every real number θ is a limit of continued fractions, in a unique way. We shall call it the "continued fraction expansion" of θ .

Let us first recall that every real number has a decimal expansion.

And as you see on the slide, we would call such, such an expansion to be a continued fraction expansion of θ . Ofcourse, we still have to construct such an expansion, we still have to

construct the sequence of continued fractions which converge to any given real number θ , we would have for θ , we would construct a sequence of continued fractions converging to θ in a very special way. So, the sequence is going to be a very special sequence.

But before that, before even we go to the continued fraction expansion, you all must have known that there is a decimal expansion for θ . Every real number has a decimal expansion. What is a decimal expansion, how do we define it. Let us spend some time and understand what the decimal expansion is, this is something that we have been using right from our school. And we found it very useful many times we find this decimal expansion quite useful, especially when it is a finite decimal expansion.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:45)

For any real number θ , we denote by $[\theta]$ the greatest integer less than or equal to θ .

$$[\theta] \leq \theta < [\theta] + 1$$

" "
 $\lfloor \theta \rfloor$, $\lceil \theta \rceil$, $\lceil \theta \rceil - 1 < \theta \leq \lceil \theta \rceil$

floor ceiling.

We need some notations. So, for any real number θ , the square bracket θ is the greatest integer less than or equal to θ . So, what we have is that this greatest integer θ has the property that it is less than or equal to θ , and θ is strictly less than the greatest integer less equal θ plus one, this is called floor function, we also sometimes use this symbol to denote it. There is another way, which is called ceiling function, which is the smallest integer less than or equal to θ , smallest integer bigger than or equal to θ .

So, this will have the property that minus 1 is less than θ which is less than or equal to the ceiling function for θ . Whenever we have a list of marks obtained by our students, and if the list involves some fractions, in the end, we convert every fraction to a full number, we call it

rounding up. When you go to buy vegetables, you do also rounding up but in the other direction, if the bill comes out to be 503, you will simply say that panch sau le lo. So that is the floor function that we are applying in some sense, and when we round up the marks obtained by our students, we take the ceiling function.

So, this is called the floor function and this is called the ceiling function. So, these are two very important functions. We are not going to discuss about the ceiling function at all in this course, this is the only function that we are going to look at, the floor function and our notation therefore is the square bracket theta. Remember, it is the integer so you take all integers which are less than or equal to your theta and take the greatest one among them, that is how we construct it. So, let us look at some examples.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:14)

For any real number θ , we denote by $[\theta]$ the greatest integer less than or equal to θ . \rightarrow integral part of θ

Examples:

$[2.71828] = 2,$	$[0.123] = 0,$	
$[2020] = 2020,$	$[-1.2] = -2,$	and
$[\pi] = 3.$		

Further $\{\theta\} = \theta - [\theta] \in [0, 1).$ \leftarrow fractional part of θ

$\theta = [\theta] + \{\theta\}.$

If I have this 2.71828, if you remember, this is the expansion for E, the Euler number, where it does not stop at the 8 that we have given, but it goes beyond. The floor function for this is equal to 2, because two is less than this number and 3 is not less than this number. So, the greatest integer less than or equal to this quantity is 2.

If you have your number to be 0.123, ofcourse, it is clear that the floor function now gives you the value 0. If you have an integer, the floor function will return the same integer if the floor function of 2020 is 2020. A very important example coming up, if you have the number minus 1.2, its floor function will give you minus 2 because minus 1 is not less than minus 1.2 minus

one is bigger than minus 1.2. In fact, if you plot them on the real line, you will see that you have 0 then you go to left hand side you have minus 1 and then you go a little bit further one fifth of an integer and you will have minus of 1.2.

So, it is on the left hand side of your minus 1, therefore minus 1 is not less than that. The greatest integer less than or equal to minus 1 power in 2 is minus 2. So, the floor function of minus 1.2 is minus 2. And finally, the floor function of pi is 3. So, remember that pi has this expansion 3.14 something, something and therefore, its floor function will give you the number 3. Now, ofcourse, when you take any real number theta and look only at the floor functions applied to it, then you have only interior and somehow you do not want to miss out on the information of theta.

So, we would also like to keep track of the fact the difference between your number theta and the floor function applied to theta and there is a notation for that, this is denoted by curly bracket theta. By definition, this is theta minus square bracket theta, while talking we will call this to be the integral part of theta and this will be called the fractional part of theta. So, for every real number, we have these two things, we have the integral part of theta, which is an integer, we have the fractional part of theta which is between 0 and 1, it can be 0, but it is not equal to 1.

And your theta happens to be integral part of theta plus fractional part of theta. So, these are functions and integral part of theta, fractional parts of theta. So, both these parts are uniquely determined by the given real number. So, with this notations it is easy to describe the decimal expansion, but I will not go into construction of these. Let me just tell you what we mean by decimal expansion.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:08)

The decimal expansion of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of integers a_i , $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, such that

$$\sum_{i \geq -N} a_i 10^{-i} = \theta.$$

$$= a_{-N} 10^N + a_{-(N-1)} 10^{N-1} + \dots + a_0 + a_1 10^{-1} + \dots$$

$$\theta = \underline{304.2397} = 3 \times 10^2 + 4 \times 10^0 + 2 \times 10^{-1} + 3 \times 10^{-2} + 9 \times 10^{-3} + 7 \times 10^{-4}$$

So, decimal expansion of any real number theta is a sequence of integers a_i , which are sequence, which are from 0 to 9. And with the property that summation $a_i 10^{\text{power minus } i}$ is theta. So, where does this, i here i should not be from 0 to 1, but it will be from some quantity onwards. So, i is going to be bigger than or equal to some minus N onwards. So, we have this expansion which will be $a_{-N} 10^N + a_{-(N-1)} 10^{N-1} + \dots + a_0 + a_1 10^{-1} + \dots$ this is our expression for theta.

So, for instance, if you have the number 304.2397, this will be $3 \times 10^2 + 0 \times 10^1 + 4 \times 10^0 + 2 \times 10^{-1} + 3 \times 10^{-2} + 9 \times 10^{-3} + 7 \times 10^{-4}$ and we stop here because our number has a finite decimal expansion. It is true that for any real N , any real theta, we would have such an expansion where you are i will go up to infinity.

And this is again easy to construct, because remember the sequence of rationals that we had constructed in last lecture. Here, what we do is that, you first of all look at the largest integer less than or equal to your theta, the integral part this integral part can be ofcourse, written as a sum of certain powers of 10 multiplied by some integers from 0 to 9. Just as here in the example, we had, we have written 304 to be $3 \times 100 + 4 \times 10^0$. So, once you do it for integer part, now you have the remaining things.

So, what we are doing is that we are constructing a sequence of rationals converging to your number θ in the following way, we looked at the integer first that would give you that it is less than the distance between θ and your number is less than $\frac{1}{10}$, then we would divide this the interval, the interval $[\lfloor \theta \rfloor, \lfloor \theta \rfloor + 1]$ into 10 equal parts. And we will see which of these, so, the interval of length 1 has now been divided into 10 sub-intervals of length $\frac{1}{10}$ and your number θ has to belong to one such sub-interval.

You take the beginning point, the initial point of that sub-interval, here that sub-interval would begin at two. So, from 304 to 305, we have exactly 10 parts, the first one will begin at 304, next one begins at 304.1, the next one which begins at 304.2 that until 304.3. Your number θ , the number θ that we have here on in the slide belongs to that particular sub-interval of length $\frac{1}{10}$. Then, once you have found a sub-interval of length $\frac{1}{10}$, you divide that sub-interval into 10 equal sub-intervals that would give you the next decimal number in the expansion and so, on.

So, this is the way we would construct the expansion the sequence. Here the property is that, the n th term of the sequence is less than or equal to your number θ by the distance at most equal to $\frac{1}{10^n}$. And as N goes to infinity, this $\frac{1}{10^N}$ goes to 0, so you have that your sequence converges to the number θ . So, this is the decimal expansion that we have constructed. We ofcourse will take it for granted that such an expansion exists for every real number. But note that we do not always have uniqueness of these decimal expansions.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:06)

The decimal expansion of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of integers a_i , $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, such that

$$\sum_i a_i 10^{-i} = \theta.$$

We note that such an expansion exists for every real number.

One also notes that $1 = 0.999\dots$, so the decimal expansion need not be unique for some numbers.

There is this instance where 1 is equal to 0.9999 and so, on. If you sum this up, this is a geometric series and if you obtain the sum of this geometric series, you will see that it is indeed equal to 1. So, whenever you have a finite decimal expansion, you have another decimal representation for the same number involving some sequence of integers ultimately ending with 9s. So, if you have the earlier example, that we had taken, if the last number was 3, then that 3 can be replaced by 299999. So, 0.3 is equal to 0.299999.

One way to understand this would be that the distance from 0.3 to 0.29 is 0.01 if you add one more 9, now the distance is 0.001 and you keep adding the 9s and your distance keeps reducing. So, when you go to infinity ultimately the distance goes to 0. So, these two decimal expansions give you the same number. Now, there are many differences between the decimal expansions and the continued fractions expansions that we are going to study. So, both have their applications, the decimal expansions, they seem quite useful in analysis.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:48)

The decimal expansions seem useful in analysis, for instance, Cantor's proof of uncountability of the set of reals uses decimal expansions.

On the other hand, the continued fraction expansions seem more useful in arithmetic.

For instance, if you have seen the Cantor's proof, which proves that the set of real numbers is uncountable, this is called the diagonal argument of Cantor, this proof uses decimal expansion. On the other hand, if we take the continued fraction expansions which we are going to study in this theme, they seem more useful in arithmetic. So, as far as arithmetic is concerned, we are going to see that continued fraction expansions are more useful.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:12)

We will see later that the continued fraction expansions of a real number θ provide "good" rational approximations to θ ,

This is something that we are going to see that the continued fraction expansions of real number of the given real number θ will provide good rational approximations. So, I told you in the

last lecture that we are interested in finding p by q , such that the distance of θ and p by q is less than 1 upon q square, we are not happy with the distance being less than 1 upon q , we want it to be less than 1 upon q square and we would call such rationals to give good approximation to θ .

And, ofcourse, again there are many such approximations to θ which are good, but if you impose one more condition, then we will see later, perhaps a few lectures later that the continued fraction expansions give you the only such nice approximations. So, this is something that we will see later.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:10)

We will see later that the continued fraction expansions of a real number θ provide "good" rational approximations to θ , which is apparent in solving the Brahmagupta-Pell equations

$$x^2 - ny^2 = 1$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

But I must also tell you that there is this Brahmagupta-Pell equations which are x square minus n y squared equal to 1 and you let n go to, n vary over integers, we are going to construct explicit solutions to such equations. So, the point is that n is given, n is a fixed natural number and we consider the equation x squared minus n y square equal to 1 . And the question is to determine the set of integers x comma y satisfying this property.

If you look at rational numbers, then this is some certain curve which we can easily draw, if you are looking at real numbers, then this is these are the points on some certain curve which we can easily draw, but we are looking at integer solutions to this and so, this is what is known as a Diophantine equation. The solution to such equations forms the study of Diophantine equation

which is initiated by the Greek mathematician Diophantus. So, this is an instance which we are going to study soon in our coming lectures.

But the point right now we want to understand is, what is a good approximation, and does there exist at least one such good approximation to a given real theta. So, that can be solved, that has an affirmative answer by this theorem proved by the mathematician Dirichlet.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:41)

Theorem (Dirichlet): Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $Q > 1$ be any integer. There exist integers p, q with

$$0 < q < Q \text{ and } |q\theta - p| \leq 1/Q.$$

Proof:

Consider the $Q+1$ real numbers
 $0, 1, \underbrace{\{0\}, \{2\theta\}, \dots, \{(Q-1)\theta\}}_{Q-1}$.



Theorem (Dirichlet): Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $Q > 1$ be any integer. There exist integers p, q with

$$0 < q < Q \text{ and } |q\theta - p| \leq 1/Q.$$

Proof:

Consider the $Q+1$ real numbers
 $0, 1, \{0\}, \{2\theta\}, \dots, \{(Q-1)\theta\}$. They
 are in $[0, 1] = [0, 1/Q] \cup [1/Q, 2/Q] \cup \dots \cup [Q-1/Q, 1]$.



So, let me recall the statement of the theorem. Let us understand the statement of the theorem. We have a real number theta and we are looking at any integer bigger than 1, we fix any interior q bigger than 1, then we say that there are integers p comma q with the property that the

denominator is less than Q . And this difference is at most 1 by capital Q . This is the result that we want to prove.

So, we want to say that there are these numbers p by q , with the property that the denominator q I am calling q as the denominator and the reason for that will be clear very soon. So, this denominator is a positive quantity which is strictly between 0 and q . And moreover, $\text{mod } q$ theta minus p is less than or equal to 1 upon capital Q , integer we started with. So, this proof involves one small trick.

Consider the Q plus 1 real numbers; 0, 1 fractional part of theta, fractional part of 2 theta, so on up to fractional part of Q minus 1 into theta. Notice that these are indeed Q plus 1 real numbers, here we have first multiple of theta, second multiple of theta until Q minus 1 multiple of theta. So, these are Q minus 1 numbers and we have 0 and 1. So once we add these, we get Q plus 1 numbers in all.

Moreover, these numbers are in the set $[0, 1]$ because we have observed that fractional parts of any real number is between 0 and 1, it is never equal to 1, but it is, it can be equal to 0, so it is in closed $[0, 1]$. And here we have also taken 0 and 1. So, we have these Q plus 1 integers, these Q plus 1 real numbers, and we will put them in these Q sub-intervals. Similar to what we had seen in the decimal expansion, where we had divided each subsequent interval into 10 equal parts, here we are dividing our interval 0 to 1 into Q equal parts.

Now, we have Q sub-intervals and we have Q plus 1 numbers. And here is a principle which is often used in mathematics and therefore it has a name, this is called Pigeonhole Principle, which states that if you have n holes, and there are n plus 1 pigeons sitting in these holes, then at least 1 hole must contain 2 or more pigeons, it is a very easy principle to prove, but because it is used quite often, it is convenient to have a name for that and simply say that we use the Pigeonhole Principle.

So here the pigeonhole principle will tell you that there will have to be at least 2 of these numbers that we have, which will have to be in one such sub-interval, but those two numbers cannot be 0 and 1 because 0 is at one end, and 1 is at another end. So, those two real numbers that you get at least one of them will have to be of the form, in fractional part of m theta for some certain m .

(Refer Slide Time: 23:13)

Theorem (Dirichlet): $0 < q < Q$ and $|q\theta - p| \leq 1/Q$.

Proof (contd.): We then get $m \neq n$ such that

$$|\{m\theta\} - \{n\theta\}| \leq 1/Q$$

$$\text{LHS} = |m\theta - [m\theta] - n\theta + [n\theta]| \leq 1/Q$$

$$\text{LHS} = | \underbrace{(m-n)\theta} - p | \leq 1/Q$$

$$\text{LHS} = |q\theta - p| \leq 1/Q \quad \text{Here } 0 < q < Q$$

So, we then get and m not equal to n such that integral part of $m\theta$ minus fractional part of $m\theta$ minus fractional part of $n\theta$ is less than or equal to $1/Q$. And if I now explain this notion, the left hand side as notation, fractional part which is nothing but $m\theta$ minus the integral part of $m\theta$, and then I have $n\theta$ minus the integral part of $n\theta$, which will now become plus this is less than $1/Q$. So, we see that you have m minus n into θ minus some rational number.

Let us call this rational, some integer, let us call that integer to be p . And so, we have this number here, which is remember we had taken m and n from 1 to up to Q minus 1 . So, the difference it could happen that your number that you had obtained is 0 or you may have the number that you had to be 1 . And then another such number has to be some fractional part of $m\theta$, in that case m will be some number from 1 to Q minus 1 , if there is only one fractional part and one of the 0 and 1 , you will have that the corresponding m is less than or equal to q minus 1 .

If you have both of those numbers to be fractional parts of $m\theta$ and $n\theta$ for different m and n , then we ofcourse, know that their difference has to be again less than or equal to $1/Q$. So, I will write it as $Q\theta$ minus p to be less than or equal to $1/Q$. So, we have obtained our Q to be some number which is less than or equal to q minus 1 which means that it is strictly less than the number capital Q . So, this is what we have obtained, but how does it help us in getting a good approximation. So, let us see further.

(Refer Slide Time: 26:06)

Theorem (Dirichlet): $0 < q < Q$ and $|q\theta - p| \leq 1/Q$.

Proof (contd.):

Note that this gives

$$|\theta - \frac{p}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{qQ} < \frac{1}{q^2}$$

Hence $|\theta - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^2}$

Note that this gives, I will just divide by q throughout and because q is strictly smaller than capital Q , 1 upon q is going to be strictly bigger than 1 upon capital Q . So, hence, we have obtained a rational number p by q for our real number θ satisfying this inequality, this is what we call to be a good approximation to our θ by a rational number.

What we have proved is that, you choose any capital Q for that capital Q , we have a good approximation where the denominator is strictly between 0 to capital Q . So, we have a bounded denominator giving us a good approximation to our real θ . In fact, if you take this θ .

(Refer Slide Time: 27:38)

We can, in fact, choose p and q so that

$$(p, q) = 1.$$

If $(p, q) = d > 1$ then

$$|q\theta - p| \leq \frac{1}{q}$$

$$\text{LHS} = d |q\theta - p| \leq \frac{1}{q}, \quad |q\theta - p| \leq \frac{1}{dq} < \frac{1}{q}$$

$$0 < q < Q, \quad 0 < p < Q.$$

In fact, you can choose p and q such that the GCD of the p and q is 1 because if they had a GCD, so if p comma q the GCD is d which is strictly bigger than 1 then $\text{mod } q$ theta minus p , which we know is less than or equal to 1 upon capital Q . The LHS would give, you will take the GCD common and you will have q_1 theta minus p_1 less equal 1 by Q which would give you $\text{mod of } q_1$ theta minus p_1 to be less than or equal to 1 upon dQ which is ofcourse less than 1 upon Q .

So, if you had a GCD, non-trivial GCD for p comma q , you can cancel that GCD out and you have these numbers. And ofcourse, we had our Q to be less than, small q was less than capital Q and we would continue to have q_1 to also be less than capital Q . So, we actually get, once you have a pair of such integers p comma q giving you a good approximation, you will be able to get the p and q where the GCD is 1. Ofcourse, the rational number that you are going to get will be the same because p by q is now going to be equal to p_1 by q_1 .

But the important thing is that the denominator is further smaller. So, we are looking at smaller denominators giving you good approximations, this is what we would want to do. In fact, if you have an irrational number, then you have even a better such approximation.

(Refer Slide Time: 29:41)

Corollary: For every real θ we have a rational number p/q with the property that

$$\underbrace{|\theta - p/q|} < 1/q^2.$$

Proof:

This follows from the previous result.

So, whatever we have proved so far for implies this corollary so for any real theta we have this rational number p by q with the property that the distance of theta and p by q is less than 1 upon q square. And this q can in fact be chosen such that it is between 0 and capital Q for any integer capital Q bigger than 1 that you choose. So, the statement here given is a weaker statement, we have actually proved something which is even stronger.

Now, if you have an irrational number, then there are infinitely many such good approximations. On the other hand, if you are number happens to be a rational number, then there are only finitely many such approximations. So, this dichotomy and further the study of continued fractions giving us such nice approximations, and the remaining things will be done in the next lectures. See you then. Thank you very much