

Theorem 6: Probability of Extinction

- ▶ The probability of extinction q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation

$$u(s) = 0$$

Hence, $q = 1$ if and only if $u'(1) \leq 0$.

- ▶ **Proof:** Since q satisfies

$$\phi(t_0; s) = s$$

for any $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\phi(v+h; s) - \phi(v; s)}{h} = u(\phi(v; s)) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

- ▶ If $s = q$, then



$$\frac{q - q}{h} = u(q) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

Now we are moving into theorem 6; how to find the probability of extinction. We conclude q is the probability of extinction for the continuous time branching process. So here in this theorem we are giving the probability of extinction q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation u of s equal to 0. Hence q is equal to 1 if and only if $u'(1) \leq 0$. So whenever $u'(1) \leq 0$ then the probability of extinction will be sure, the probability will be 1. Extinction event will be sure the probability of extinction will be 1.

Theorem 4: PGF of $Z(t)$...

► Now

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(t+v; s)]^i &= \sum_j P_{ij}(t+v) s^j \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(v) s^j \\ &= \sum_k P_{ik}(t) \sum_j P_{kj}(v) s^j \\ &= \sum_k P_{ik}(t) [\phi(v; s)]^k \\ &= [\phi(t; \phi(v; s))]^i\end{aligned}$$

► When $i = 1$, we obtain the result.



Now we give the proof of a probability of extinction. In the earlier theorem we have concluded q satisfies ψ of t naught, s is equal to s . For any t naught greater than 0 we have this relation we have in the theorem for the theorem four. The theorem four, theorem five discuss the partial differential equation and ordinary differential equation satisfied by ψ of t, s . So we are using these equations to find the probability of extinction.

Theorem 6: Probability of Extinction

- ▶ The probability of extinction q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation

$$u(s) = 0$$

Hence, $q = 1$ if and only if $u'(1) \leq 0$.

- ▶ **Proof:** Since q satisfies

$$\phi(t_0; s) = s$$

for any $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\phi(v+h; s) - \phi(v; s)}{h} = u(\phi(v; s)) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

- ▶ If $s = q$, then

$$\frac{q - q}{h} = u(q) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$



So here by using theorem 5 $\phi(v+h; s) - \phi(v; s)$ divided by h will be $u(\phi(v; s)) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$. you know that this will be tends to 0 as h tends to 0. if s equal to q because q is the probability of extension is the smallest non-negative root of the equation so $\phi(t_0; s)$ is equal to s therefore if you substitute s is equal to q here then the above equation becomes the left-hand side becomes 0. When you put s is equal to q here then the $\phi(v; q)$ will be q therefore this will be $u(q) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$ by s equal to q in this above equation the left-hand side becomes 0, the right-hand side first term $\phi(v; q)$ will be q therefore it will be $u(q) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$ for any h greater than zero it will be 0 is equal to $u(q) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$ as h tends to 0 plus you will get at $u(q) = 0$.

Therefore the earlier theorem we have concluded the probability of extinction will be $\phi(t_0; s) = 0$ the q will be the probability of extinction is the smallest non-negative root of the equation but here by using this we concluded $u(q) = 0$. hence, the probability of extinction q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation $u(s) = 0$ because we concluded $u(q) = 0$. Suppose you find the double derivative of u that will be great or equal to 0. Hence we conclude $u(s)$ is a convex function in the interval $0,1$. As $u(1) = 0$ and the $u(0)$ is equal to a naught which is greater than 0 us may have at most one 0 in the interval $0,1$. The way we defined $u(s)$, $u(s)$ is a summation $a_k s^k$ therefore $u(1)$ will be 0 and $u(0)$ will be a naught which is greater than 0. With that assumption only the probability of extinction is possible.

Theorem 6: Probability of Extinction . . .

- ▶ As $u(1) = 0$ and $u(0) = a_0 > 0$, $u(s)$ may have at most one zero in the interval $(0, 1)$.
- ▶ According to whether $u'(1) \leq 0$ or $u'(1) > 0$ holds, we have the cases $q = 1$ or $q < 1$ respectively.
- ▶ Note that $E[Z(t_0)] = E[Y]$ if and only if $u'(1) > 0$.
- ▶ This means that for the discrete time branching process $Z(nt_0)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ($t_0 > 0$ fixed), extinction occurs with probability less than one, and therefore the same is true for the process $Z(t)$.
- ▶ The probability of extinction q is in this case necessarily the smallest zero of $u(s)$ in $[0, 1]$.



According to $u'(1)$ is less than or equal to 0 or greater than 0 we have the case q is equal to 1 or q is less than one respectively. That means when $u'(1) \leq 0$ the probability of extinction will be 1. The $u'(1) > 0$ then the probability of extinction will be less than 1. So graphically one can show this is a graph of $y = u(s)$. So here we have two graphs. The graph a later to $u'(1) \leq 0$. Since $u(s)$ is a convex function in the interval 0 to 1 and $u(1) = 0$ so this is the graphical representation of $y = u(s)$ in the case $u'(1) \leq 0$.

The case two when $u'(1) > 0$ $y = u(s)$ will cut the x-axis at some point which is less than 1 because $u(1) = 0$, and $u(s)$ is a convex function $u'(1) > 0$, the $u(s)$ will cut the x-axis before 1. Hence the probability of extinction when $u'(1) \leq 0$ that will be 1 and the probability of extinction when $u'(1) > 0$ it will be less than 1.

Note that expectation of Z is equal to expectation of Y if and only if $u'(1) > 0$. So whenever $u'(1) > 0$ the probability of extinction is less than 1. This means that for a discrete time branching process Z of n times t extension occurs with the probability less than 1 and therefore the same is true for the process above. The probability of extinction q is in the case necessarily the smallest zero of $u(s)$ in $[0, 1]$.

In a similar manner we conclude that if $u'(1) \leq 0$ q must be equal to 1. In either case q is the smallest non negative root of $u(s) = 0$. So hence the probability of

extinction q is the smallest non-negative root of the equation u of s equal to 0 when q is equal to 1 -- when u' of 1 is less than or equal to 0 the probability of extinction will be 1.

Limit Theorem

1. If $u'(1) = 0$ and $u''(1) < \infty$, then

$$P(Z(t) > 0 \mid Z(0) = 1) \sim \frac{2}{tu''(t)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{2Z(t)}{tu''(1)} > \lambda \mid Z(t) \neq 0\right) = e^{-\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

2. If $u'(1) > 0$ and $u''(1) < \infty$, then

$$\frac{Z(t)}{e^{tu'(1)}}$$



has a limit distribution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will consider the limit theorem. If u' of 1 is equal to 0 and u'' of 1 is finite then we can show this conditional probability will be approximately 2 divided by t times u'' of t as t tends to infinity. And also we can conclude the limit t tends to infinity probability of this event is $e^{-\lambda}$ where λ is t greater than 0. When u' of 1 is strictly greater than 0 and u'' of 1 is a finite then the Z of t divided by $e^{tu'(1)}$ has a limit distribution as t tends to infinity. Without proof we are stating this limited theorem.

Bellman-Harris Processes

- ▶ Consider a classical branching process in which progeny are born at the moment of the parents death.
- ▶ Let $Z(t)$ be the number of particles alive at time t .
- ▶ The distribution of particle lifetime τ is an arbitrary non-negative random variable, the resulting process is called an "age-dependent" or Bellman - Harris process.
- ▶ Assume that all particles reproduce and die independently of each other.
- ▶ This model generalizes the birth and death process in two respects: first, the lifespan of individual particles need not have the exponential distribution, and second, more than one particle can born.



The process $Z(t)$ is not a Markov process and its analysis is usually done by using renewal theory.



Till now we have discussed two important branching processes. The first one is Galton-Watson discrete-time branching process. The second one is a Markov branching process which is a continuous type branching process. Now we are going to discuss some more or some other important branching processes. The first one is a Bellman- Harris processes. Consider a classical branching processes in which the progeny are born at the moment of parents death. Let Z_t be the number of particles at time t . The distribution of a particle lifetime τ is an arbitrary non-negative random variable. The resulting process is called the age-dependent or Bellman-Harris process. So in the Markov branching process the random variable τ which is a exponential distribution but here it is a arbitrary non-negative random variable then the resulting process is age-dependent or Bellman-Harris process. So when τ becomes exponential distribution then age-dependent Bellman-Harris process becomes Markov branching process. Assume that all particles reproduce and die independently of each other. The similar assumption we have taken care in the discrete type as well as continuous type branching processes. This model generalizes the birth-death process in two respects; the first the lifespan of individual particles need not have the exponential distribution and second more than one particle can work. Because of these two aspects this model generalized the birth-death process. The process Z of t is not a Markov process and its analysis is usually done by using renewal theory. We have discussed a renewal processes in a model 8.

Bellman-Harris Processes with Disasters

- ▶ Consider the population model which follows a Bellman - Harris process.
- ▶ At random times, disasters beset the population and each particle alive at the time of the disaster survives with probability p .
- ▶ The survival of any particle is assumed independent of the survival of any other particle.
- ▶ Measure of interest is limiting behavior when extinction does not occur.



Now we discuss the Bellman-Harris processes with disaster. Consider the population model which follows Bellman-Harris process at random times disasters reset the population and each particle alive at the time of disaster survives with the probability p . The survival of any particle is assumed independent of survival of any other particle. In this model the measure of interest is limiting behavior when extinction does not occur. We will move into the other important branching process that is Bellman-Harris processes with immigration. In addition to the Bellman-Harris process we allow a certain appearance into the system of newly born particles called immigrants. Immigrants are assumed to arrive in a group of various sizes with the probability of n immigrants in a group immigrating at time t given by P_n of t . Once these particles arrive they reproduce and die according to the Bellman-Harris process. In this model measures of interest or the mean of Z of t , the limiting distribution and asymptotic behavior of Z of t . This process is widely used to describe growth and decay of biological populations. We are not discussing in detail of Bellman-Harris process in this lecture.

In this model we have discussed in detail two important branching processes Galton-Watson process and Markov branching process. We have briefed Bellman-Harris process with a disaster and with immigration. The first two branching processes we have discussed the mean and variance of Z of t limiting distribution probability of extinction in both branching processes. Here are the references for this model 9, branching processes.

References

- ▶ G. Sankaranarayanan, "Branching Processes and Its Estimation Theory", Wiley Eastern Ltd, New Delhi, 1989.
- ▶ K.B. Athreya and P.E. Ney, "Branching Processes", Dover Publications, New York, 2004.
- ▶ K.B. Athreya, P.R. Parthasarathy and G Sankaranarayanan, "Supercritical Age-Dependent Branching Processes with Immigration", Journal of Applied Probability, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 695 - 702, 1974.
- ▶ P.R. Parthasarathy and A. Krishnamoorthy, "Compounding Bellman - Harris allowing Immigration", Mathematical Biosciences, Vol. 37, pp. 105 - 111, 1977.

