

Our Mathematical Senses

The Geometry Vision

Prof. Vijay Ravikumar

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology- Madras

Lecture-33

Video 7A: perspectivities the maps that shift perspective

So, let's take a closer look at perspectives, which are the maps that shift perspective. So, this week we're focusing on the question, what are the possible perspective images of a square? What are the shadows of a square? Or what are the perspective views of a square? They're really the same thing. And one of the reasons we're interested in that question is that it's intimately related to a bigger question, namely, as a transformation of the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 , what does a perspective shift look like? So, let's take a closer look at the perspectivity map from the introduction video for this week. So, the definition is the following. Let's suppose that π and π' are distinct planes in \mathbb{R}^3 . Here's π and here's π' .

And o is a point that's not contained in either plane. Now, a map γ from π to π' is a perspectivity centered at o . It's called a perspectivity centered at o if for any x in π , the points o , x , and $\gamma(x)$ are collinear. So, in other words, if γ relates x to the point in π' , the point in the plane π' , which is pierced by the line o, x .

So, to see another example, y is another point in π and the line o, y hits π' at $\gamma(y)$. So, γ is relating y to this point here, pierced by o, y . And similarly, z is here and $\gamma(z)$ is here. And o, z , and $\gamma(z)$ are collinear. So, let's see another example of a perspectivity, which is where the planes and the point are arranged in a slightly different way in space.

And over here, π is this plane, π' is this plane, and o is centered here between the two planes. And in this case, we see that x, o , and $\gamma(x)$ are collinear, y, o , and $\gamma(y)$ are collinear, and this point z, o , and $\gamma(z)$ are collinear. So, in, oops,

γ of z is here, o and γ of z . So, again, we have the same relationship. γ is somehow linearly projecting points from π to π' through the center of perspectivity o .

But there's a natural question that we should raise here, which is whether we actually get a well-defined map from the plane π to the plane π' . We certainly do in some parts of π . That's what we're seeing in these images here. So, this portion of π seems to very naturally project to this portion of π' , and it looks like this map is well-defined. But what happens when the line through o and x is parallel to π' ? In other words, the line through o and x doesn't hit π' at all.

So, to see an example of that, let's extend our plane π , make it bigger, just imagine extending out in space, and let's pick a point x on π such that ox , the line ox , is actually parallel to this plane π' . So, ox now is not going to hit π' anywhere. The line through o and x will not meet π' . And, as a result, this map, this map γ , the perspectivity, doesn't seem to be defined on x . It's not going to map x anywhere on π' .

And that was this x , but we can also just look at another x over here, which is all such that ox is again parallel to π' , or at point x over here, again ox is parallel to π' . There's multiple choices of x such that ox is parallel to π' . In fact, there's an entire line, this line here, on π , such that, on which the map γ is not defined. What is this line? Well, it consists of all the points x in π , such that the line connecting o to x is parallel to π' . Another way of arriving at it, or imagining it, it's just the line we get if we were to translate π' through space so that it passes through o .

So that translation of π' up to o is going to hit π at a line, this line here. So that's how you can get that line of points such that ox is parallel to π' . So now, the map is not really defined between planes in Euclidean space. π and π' are two planes in Euclidean space, and unfortunately the perspective map is not really well defined, as we've given it. But what about if we extend our space to P^3 ? We include points at infinity.

So let's again take this point x_1 here and consider the line ox_1 . Now, in P^3 , the line ox_1 , it's going to meet a point at infinity. We keep following ox_1 out, and eventually it'll meet a point at infinity associated to it, p_{ox_1} . So ox_1 is parallel to π' in R^3 . So the extension of π' , remember, for every plane in R^3 , when we defined the extended space P^3 , we gave it an associated line at infinity.

And the extension of π' will also contain the point at infinity, p_{ox_1} . Why is that? Well, ox_1 is parallel to π' , and by definition, π' is going to... The line at

infinity.

.. The line at infinity, l_{π} , that's associated to π ... Sorry, $l_{\pi'}$, that's associated to π' in p^3 , that's going to consist of all the points at infinity of all the lines parallel to π' . So in particular, it's going to include $p \circ x_1$.

Similarly, $o x_2$ will meet a point at infinity, $p \circ x_2$. And that will also be contained in the extension of the plane π' , simply because it will be contained in the line at infinity associated to π' , simply because the line $o x_2$ is parallel to π' . It's parallel to this plane π' . And finally, we get the same thing with $o x_3$. Again, $o x_3$ is parallel to π' , so its point at infinity will also be contained in the extension of the plane π' in p^3 .

We're working in p^3 , which is why all of this now holds. So all of these lines really do intersect π' , as long as we're working in p^3 . So let's go back to the definition.

We said we... I wrote that π and π' are distinct planes in R^3 , and we saw that the definition doesn't quite work out for us. But if we change that to p^3 , now everything seems to work out much better. The map is well defined. For any x that we've chosen so far in π , $o x$ will meet π' somewhere, either at an ordinary point or at a point at infinity of π' . And I just want to apologize and remark on this slightly confusing notation, because right now I'm using π and π' to refer directly to the extended plane to the extended planes in p^3 .

Whereas earlier, when we were talking about R^3 , I was using the same notation, π and π' , to refer to just the ordinary planes. And we're going to keep doing this. We're going to keep using these Greek letters to refer to planes. And depending on the context, the plane could be in R^3 or it could be in p^3 . So I'll try and be as explicit as I can about which context we're in, both in terms of what I write and what I say.

But just keep that in mind. On its own, π could be referred to just the ordinary plane or the extension of that ordinary plane in p^3 . And this seems great, but I just want to make sure we're not getting lost in this extended world with points at infinity and lines at infinity. And ultimately, we want to know about transformations of the ordinary Euclidean plane R^3 . So we are going to get back to that, but we do need to work with these extended planes in p^3 in order to understand transformations of R^2 .

So I just want to reassure everyone that we will get back to R^2 and understand the transformations of R^2 , finally, at the end of this journey. So eventually, not only will we

return to R2, but we'll also arrive at some quantitative answers to the question we asked of what changes and what stays the same under a perspective.