

## **Our Mathematical Senses**

### **The Geometry Vision**

**Prof. Vijay Ravikumar**

**Department of Mathematics**

**Indian Institute of Technology- Madras**

### **Lecture-62**

Video 12C: the fundamental theorem of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$

So, we want to visualize the action of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , that matrix group we just constructed. We want to visualize how it transforms  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . But before we get to that, there's a highly relevant theorem that's worth mentioning, which is the following, the fundamental theorem of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . And it states that if we let  $A, B, C$ , and  $D$ , and  $A', B', C'$ , and  $D'$ , be two different ordered sets of four points in  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , where in each set no three are collinear. Then there exists a unique element of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , taking  $A$  to  $A'$ ,  $B$  to  $B'$ ,  $C$  to  $C'$ , and  $D$  to  $D'$ . So, remember, this is  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , so a point in  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is a line through the origin.

So  $A, B, C$ , and  $D$  are all different lines through the origin.  $A', B', C', D'$  are all different lines through the origin. And there exists a unique element of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , taking these four onto these four. So that's the fundamental theorem of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

But we're not going to prove it right now. We'll prove it in the final video. So we will prove it in this class, but not right now. But I want to just think about what it's saying. What it's saying is that there's a unique element of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  taking any four points to any other four points.

And that feels like a familiar statement. This feels like something we may have seen before. And the reason is that this is almost the exact same statement as the fundamental theorem of projective geometry. So is there any connection that suggests there might be a connection between the elements of  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and the projectivities from an extended plane to itself? Because remember, the fundamental theorem of projective geometry was almost the same statement except we were looking at an extended plane in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , not looking at  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . So is there a connection between  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and the set of projectivities from an extended plane to itself? And indeed there is.

The synthetic analytic equivalence theorem states that projectivities from an extended

affine plane  $z$  equals 1 to itself are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the matrix group  $PGL_3R$ . So projectivities from an extended plane to itself are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of  $PGL_3R$ . There's very much a connection. And this theorem in a way bridges the synthetic and analytic approaches.  $RP^2$  and  $PGL_3R$  represents the analytic approach.

And on the other hand, the extended plane and projectivities represented the synthetic approach. So an important remark here, there's nothing at all special about the plane  $z$  equals 1. I'm just using that for the statement of the theorem. We were looking at projectivities from that particular extended plane to itself, because that'll make things a little easier to relate. But you can look at projectivities from any fixed extended plane to itself.

And the same theorem will hold. So let's see some examples to help us visualize how elements of  $PGL_3R$  transform this extended affine plane  $z$  equals 1. Okay. Thanks.