

Our Mathematical Senses

The Geometry Vision

Prof. Vijay Ravikumar

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology- Madras

Lecture-64

Video 12E: proving the fundamental theorem of $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$

So let's return to the fundamental theorem of $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ and try and prove it. So this proof will involve some linear algebra. Now the fundamental theorem of $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ states that if we take a, b, c , and d , and a', b', c' and d' to be two different ordered sets of four points in RP^2 , in which no three are collinear in each of the sets. Then there's a unique element of $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ taking a to a' , b to b' , c to c' , and d to d' . So remember, a, b, c , and d are points in RP^2 , meaning they're actually lines through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 . Similarly, a', b', c' , and d' are each a line, each of them is a line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 .

So that's what we're really dealing with. So for our proof, the first thing that will be useful to do is take some vector representations of these eight different lines through the origin. So in particular, let's let v_1' be a vector that lies on the line a . I'll write it this way.

v_2' is a vector lying on the line b . We could also write it in this notation, where I said that a is equal to the set of scalar multiples of v_1' . It's the line spanned by v_1' . b is the line spanned by v_2' . c is the line spanned by v_3' .

And d is the line spanned by v_4' . So if we choose these vectors in such a way that they each lie on their respective lines, then we can also say that the lines are spanned by the vectors. Similarly, a' is spanned by w_1' , b' is spanned by w_2' , c' is spanned by w_3' , and d' is spanned by w_4' . So we're choosing eight vectors lying on those eight lines through the origin. Notice that this set of vectors, v_1, v_2, v_3 , all prime, and w_1', w_2', w_3' , each of these forms a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

How do we know it forms a basis? Well, it comes from this restriction here. In each of these sets in ABCD , we know that no three are collinear. In particular, a, b, c , and d are not collinear points in RP^2 . What does that mean? It means they do not lie on a projective line in RP^2 . A projective line in RP^2 is just a plane through the origin.

So a , b , c , and d are lines through the origin, but they don't lie on a common plane through the origin. In other words, if we take vector representations of them, they will actually span all of \mathbb{RP}^2 . They won't just span a plane in \mathbb{RP}^2 . So each of these are full-fledged bases for \mathbb{R}^3 , which means we can write v_4' as a linear combination of v_1' , v_2' , and v_3' for scalars α_1 , α_2 , and α_3 . And we can write w_4' as a linear combination of the w_1' , w_2' , w_3' for these scalars β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 .

Now let's just define new vectors. Let's forget that we had our prime vectors. Now let's define our prime vectors are just a stepping stone for getting to these real vectors. We want some better vector representatives of a , b , c , and d . So let's let v_i be $\alpha_i v_i'$ for each i .

That's in other words, v_1 is equal to $\alpha_1 v_1'$, v_2 is equal to $\alpha_2 v_2'$. We're defining these. Sorry. $\alpha_1 v_1'$, v_2 is $\alpha_2 v_2'$, and v_3 is $\alpha_3 v_3'$. Why would we care about doing that? We've just scaled these a little bit here and there.

But let's let v_4 just be the same as v_4' , which is just v_1 plus v_2 plus v_3 . No scalars. It's just the sum of those three vectors now. Let's do the same thing for the w 's. Let w_i equal $\beta_i w_i'$ for each i .

Then w_4 , we'll just let that be w_4' . It's the same thing. And that's just the sum of the three initial w 's, w_1 , and w_2 , and w_3 . Now let's let t be an element of the general linear group. Let's let it be a linear transformation, which takes v_1 to w_1 , v_2 to w_2 , and v_3 to w_3 .

Then since it's a linear transformation, it's going to take v_4 . Remember v_4 is just v_1 plus v_2 plus v_3 . Since it's a linear transformation, it's linear. This is t of v_1 plus t of v_2 plus t of v_3 . But that's just equal to w_1 plus w_2 plus w_3 , which is just w_4 .

t of v_4 is equal to w_4 . In other words, t is taking v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 , and v_4 to w_1 , w_2 , w_3 , and w_4 , which means its corresponding element in $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$, so I'm denoting the corresponding element in $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ as R times t , the set of scalar multiples of the transformation t . That's an element of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. And that's going to take the line a to the line a' . It's going to take the line b to the line b' , the line c to the line c' , and the line d to the line d' .

So that takes care of the existence. This is our element in $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$, which takes these four points to these four points in \mathbb{RP}^2 , and I'll leave it as an exercise to prove that this is a unique element in $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ that does the trick. So that's an exercise for you to try. As a

hint, you can look at the previous uniqueness proofs we've done and see if you can adapt one of those or use a similar line of argument. So good luck with that.