

**Viscous Fluid Flow**  
**Prof. Amaresh Dalal**  
**Department of Mechanical Engineering**  
**Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati**

**Module - 12**  
**Turbulent Flows - II**  
**Lecture - 01**  
**Integral Solution for Turbulent Boundary Layer Flow**

Hello everyone. So, in today's lecture, we will use momentum integral equation to have the integral solution for turbulent boundary layer flows. As you know that the exact solution of this turbulent boundary layer flow is not possible, but we can use the momentum integral method to solve this boundary layer flow assuming the velocity profile and the wall shear stress from the existing correlation based on the experimental values.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:14)

**Momentum Integral Method**

Prandtl - von Karman Model:

*Momentum Integral Equation*

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}}\right) \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} dy = \frac{\nu}{U_{\infty}^2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} \Big|_{y=0} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_{\infty}^2}$$

Prandtl and von Karman used the model from Blasius's model which was developed for shear at the wall for a circular pipe,

$$4000 \leq Re_D \leq 10^5 \quad C_f = 0.0791 Re_D^{-1/4}$$

where  $C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\frac{1}{2} \rho u_m^2}$   $u_m$  - mean velocity.

Prandtl and von Karman showed velocity profile in the pipe,

$$\frac{\bar{u}}{u_{cl}} = \left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right)^{1/7} \quad \begin{matrix} u_{cl} \rightarrow U_{\infty} \\ y_0 \rightarrow \delta \end{matrix}$$

For flow over flat plate,  $\frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} = \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1/7}$  -  $1/7$ th law of velocity profile.

The momentum integral equation which we derived for laminar boundary layer flow that is also valid for turbulent boundary layer flow. This solution was actually first solved by Prandtl and von Karman. So, this model is known as Prandtl-von Karman model. So, let us write first the momentum integral equation which we derived for the laminar boundary layer flows.

So, if we integrate from 0 to  $\delta$  in place of velocity  $u$ , we will write time average velocity  $\bar{u}$  by  $U_\infty \bar{u}$  by  $U_\infty dy$  is equal to  $\nu$  by  $U_\infty^2 \frac{d\bar{u}}{dy}$  at  $y$  is equal to 0 that means right hand side term is  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho U_\infty^2$ .

To use this equation, we need to find the velocity profile or we need to approximate the velocity profile for turbulent flows Prandtl and von Karman used the velocity profile knowing from the Blasius correlation for the pipe flow. So, you can see that Prandtl and von Karman used the model from Blasius model which was developed for shear at the wall for a circular pipe.

So, Blasius proposed that for the Reynolds number based on diameter in the range of 4000 to 10 to the power 5,  $c_f$  is  $0.0791 Re_D^{-1/4}$ , where  $c_f$  is  $\tau_w$  by half  $\rho u_m^2$  where  $u_m$  is the mean velocity. So, you can see that here this is based on the correlations ok.

So, from the experimental values, Blasius actually developed this model for the shear stress for a pipe flow. And Prandtl and von Karman showed that velocity profile in the pipe, so Prandtl and von Karman showed velocity profile in the pipe ok. It will be  $\bar{u}$  by  $u_{CL}$  is equal to  $y$  by  $r$  to the power  $1/7$  ok.

So, obviously,  $r$  is the radius of the pipe, and  $u_{CL}$  is the centre line velocity. So, this was actually showed by Prandtl and von Karman for the pipe flow turbulent pipe flow. And this we can use for this turbulent boundary layer flow for a flat plate.

So, in this equation, you can see that obviously, for the pipe flow, we have this radius  $r$  and the centre line velocity of  $u_{CL}$ , but in the case of flow over flat plate this radius  $r$

naught is replaced with the boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . And the centre line velocity is replaced with the free stream velocity  $U_\infty$  ok. So, with that when Prandtl and von Karman used this velocity profile it gave reasonably good result for the boundary layer thickness and the wall shear stress.

So, if you replace this  $u_{CL}$  with  $U_\infty$ , and  $r_{naught}$  with boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ , then we can use  $\bar{u}$  by  $U_\infty$  is equal to  $y$  by  $\delta$  to the power  $1/7$  ok. So, this is for flow over flat plate ok. And this is known as  $1/7$  law of velocity profile. So, now, you can see that we have the velocity profile for this turbulent boundary layer over a flat plate.

Now, in the momentum integral equation, we need to find the shear stress because right hand side we have  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho U_\infty^2$ . So, obviously, you can see that for this velocity profile this one-seventh law of velocity profile, if you find the shear stress at the wall it will become infinity ok.

So, for that reason the shear stress in the momentum integral equation is not calculated from this velocity profile, rather the value of this shear stress was taken based on the wall shear stress of the pipe flow. So, already we have shown the drag coefficient for the pipe flow that was used for the shear stress calculation of this flow over flat plate.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:04)

**Momentum Integral Method**

Prandtl and von-Karman adapted Blasius correlation to find an expression for the wall shear stress on a flat plate.

$$\frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_m^2} = 0.03326 \left( \frac{r_0}{\nu u_m} \right)^{1/4} \quad \text{— pipe flow}$$
$$\frac{u_m}{u_{cl}} = 0.8167$$
$$u_{cl} \rightarrow U_\infty$$
$$r_0 \rightarrow \delta$$

For flow over flat plate,

$$\frac{c_f}{2} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_\infty^2} = 0.02333 \left( \frac{\nu}{U_\infty \delta} \right)^{1/4}$$

So, Prandtl and von Karman adapted Blasius correlation to find an expression for the wall shear stress on the flat plate. So,  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho u_m^2$  is equal to  $0.03326 \nu$  by  $r_0$  naught  $u_m$  to the power  $1/4$  ok.

So, and you can see that this is for pipe flow, and this correlation was used for the solution of this turbulent boundary layer flow over a flat plate with a condition that  $u_m$  by  $u_{CL}$  is equal to  $0.8167$ . And obviously,  $u_{CL}$  is replaced with the  $U_\infty$  and  $r_0$  is replaced with boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ .

So, if you put it here, then for flow over flat plate we can write  $c_f/2$  is equal to  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho U_\infty^2$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U_\infty \delta$  to the power  $1/4$ . So, now,

we use one-seventh law of velocity profile in the momentum integral equation, and this shear stress expression we use in the momentum integral equation.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:49)

**Momentum Integral Method**

Momentum Integral Equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}}\right) \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} dy = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_{\infty}^2}$$

$$\frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} = \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1/7} \quad \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_{\infty}^2} = 0.02333 \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty} \delta}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\delta} \left[ \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1/7} - \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{2/7} \right] dy = 0.02333 \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty} \delta}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{7}{8} \frac{\delta^{8/7}}{\delta^{1/7}} - \frac{7}{9} \frac{\delta^{9/7}}{\delta^{2/7}} \right] = 0.02333 \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty} \delta}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{7}{72} \frac{d\delta}{dx} = 0.02333 \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty} \delta}\right)^{1/4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta^{1/4} d\delta = 0.02333 \times \frac{72}{7} \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty}}\right)^{1/4} dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{5} \delta^{5/4} = 0.02333 \times \frac{72}{7} \times \left(\frac{\nu}{U_{\infty}}\right)^{1/4} x + C$$

So, we have this momentum integral equation  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}}\right) \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} dy = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_{\infty}^2}$ . So, you can see in this velocity profile, we use one-seventh law of velocity profile. And this  $\tau_w$  we use the Blasius correlation for the pipe flow with some modification.

So, if you put all these values,  $\bar{u}$  by  $U_{\infty}$  as  $y$  by  $\delta$  to the power  $1/7$ , and  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho U_{\infty}^2$  as  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U_{\infty} \delta$  to the power  $1/4$  ok. So, if you put it in this expression, what you will get?  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}}\right) \frac{\bar{u}}{U_{\infty}} dy = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_{\infty}^2}$  ok. So, if you multiply here, so you will get  $y$  by  $\delta$  to the power  $1/7$  minus  $\bar{u}$  by  $U_{\infty}$  whole square, so

$y$  by  $\delta$  to the power  $2/7$   $dy$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U$  infinity  $\delta$  to the power  $1/4$ .

So, if you integrate it and put the limits, then you will get  $d$  of  $dx$   $7/8$   $\delta$  to the power  $8/7$  to the power divided by  $\delta$  to the power  $1/7$  minus  $7/9$   $\delta$  to the power  $9/7$  divided by  $\delta$  to the power  $2/7$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U$  infinity  $\delta$  to the power  $1/4$ . So, you can see that this will be  $7/72$   $d$   $\delta$  by  $dx$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U$  infinity  $\delta$  to the power  $1/4$ .

So, now, we need to integrate this. So, if you rearrange, you will get  $\delta$  to the power  $1/4$   $d$   $\delta$  is equal to  $0.02333$ , so  $72/7$   $\nu$  by  $U$  infinity to the power  $1/4$   $dx$ . So, if you integrate it, we will get  $4/5$   $\delta$  to the power  $5/4$  is equal to  $0.02333$   $72/7$   $\nu$  by  $U$  infinity to the power  $1/4$   $x$  plus integration constant  $c$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 13:37)

**Momentum Integral Method**

Assuming the entire flow along the plate as being turbulent beginning from the leading edge.

@  $x \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0 \therefore c = 0$

$$\delta(x) = 0.3816 \left( \frac{U_\infty x}{\nu} \right)^{-1/5} x$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta}{x} = 0.3816 Re_x^{-1/5} \quad Re_x = \frac{U_\infty x}{\nu}$$

For turbulent flows,  $\delta \sim x^{4/5}$   
 For laminar flows,  $\delta \sim x^{1/2}$

So, to find this integration constant, just we will assume that the turbulent flow exist in the entire flat plate. And at the leading edge as  $x$  tends to 0, obviously, the boundary layer thickness  $\delta$  tends to 0. So, if you invoke this condition, then the integration constant will become 0. So, assuming the entire flow along the plate as being turbulent beginning from the leading edge ok. So, we can write as  $x$  tends to 0,  $\delta$  tends to 0, so  $c$  will become 0. So, this assumption was first proposed by Prandtl.

And now you can find after rearrangement  $\delta$  as  $0.3816 U \infty x$  by  $\nu$  to the power minus 1 by 5  $x$ . So, you will get  $\delta$  by  $x$  is equal to 0.3816. And you can see this is the Reynolds number based on  $x$ . So, it is  $U \infty x$  by  $\nu$ . So, we can write  $Re_x$  to the power minus 1 by 5.

So, from here you can see that  $\delta$  for turbulent flows, it varies as  $x$  to the power 4 by 5 ok. For turbulent flows,  $\delta$  varies as  $x$  to the power 4 by 5. And for laminar flows, we have already shown that  $\delta$  which is your boundary layer thickness varies as  $x$  to the power half. So, for turbulent flow, this  $\delta$  varies as  $x$  to the power 4 by 5 assuming the one-seventh law of velocity profile.

We have already used the Blasius correlation for the pipe flow with some modification to find the shear stress near to the wall for this flow over flat plate. Now, if you remember that those that  $c_f$  we have represented in terms of the boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . Now, we know the value of boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . So, we can put this  $\delta$  in that expression, and we can find the wall shear stress in terms of the  $x$  coordinate ok.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:28)

**Momentum Integral Method**

$$\frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_\infty^2} = 0.02333 \left( \frac{\nu}{U_\infty \delta} \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{x} = 0.3816 \operatorname{Re} x^{-1/5}$$

$$\frac{C_f}{2} = 0.02333 \left( \frac{\nu}{U_\infty x} \frac{1}{0.3816 \operatorname{Re} x^{-1/5}} \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C_f}{2} = 0.02968 \operatorname{Re} x^{-1/5}$$

For laminar flows,  $C_f \sim \operatorname{Re} x^{-1/2}$ ,  $\tau_w \sim x^{-1/2}$   
 For turbulent flows,  $C_f \sim \operatorname{Re} x^{-1/5}$ ,  $\tau_w \sim x^{-1/5}$

So, you can see that we have written the shear stress  $\tau_w$  by  $\rho U_\infty^2$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U_\infty \delta$  to the power  $1/4$ . So, here you can see that we have expressed in terms of the boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . Now, we know that  $\delta/x$  as  $0.3816 \operatorname{Re} x$  to the power  $-1/5$ .

So, this you can see that we can write  $C_f/2$  is equal to  $0.02333 \nu$  by  $U_\infty \delta$ . So, you can see that we can write  $U_\infty \delta$  we are putting. So, it will be  $x$  and we have  $0.3816 \operatorname{Re} x$  to the power  $-1/5$  to the power  $1/4$  ok. So, from here you can see that  $C_f/2$  we can write, so this will be also  $1$  by  $\operatorname{Re} x$  ok. So, if you rearrange, you will get  $0.02968 \operatorname{Re} x$  to the power  $-1/5$  ok.

So, if you remember that for laminar flows ok,  $C_f$  varies as  $\operatorname{Re} x$  to the power  $-1/2$  where  $\tau_w$  is varying with  $x$  to the power  $-1/2$ . And for turbulent flows, from this



expression, you can see that  $c_f$  varies as  $Re_x$  to the power minus 1 by 5, so  $\tau_w$  varies as  $x$  to the power minus 1 by 5.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:37)

### Momentum Integral Method

One limitation of the von – Karman model is that the approximation for the wall shear is based on limited experimental data, and considered to be of limited applicability even for pipe flow. A simpler expression of wall shear stress can be found by curve-fitting values of the expression proposed by White.

$$\frac{c_f}{2} \approx 0.01 Re_\delta^{-1/6} \quad Re_\delta = \frac{U_\infty \delta}{\nu} \quad 10^4 \leq Re_\delta \leq 10^7$$

$$\frac{7}{72} \frac{d\delta}{dx} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_\infty^2}$$

$$\frac{7}{72} \frac{d\delta}{dx} = 0.01 \left( \frac{U_\infty \delta}{\nu} \right)^{-1/6}$$

$$\delta^{1/6} \frac{d\delta}{dx} = \frac{0.01 \times 72}{7} \left( \frac{U_\infty}{\nu} \right)^{-1/6}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{6}{7} \delta^{7/6} = \frac{0.01 \times 72}{7} \left( \frac{U_\infty}{\nu} \right)^{-1/6} x + C$$

$@x \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow C = 0$

One of the limitation of this Prandtl von Karman solution is that the correlation what we have used that is based on limited experimental data. So, later there are many improvements based on the experimental values, and different scientists proposed correlations which are better than whatever we have just used for the shear stress correlation.

So, one of the correlation now we will use which was proposed by White. And later it was actually written simplified way like  $c_f$  by 2 as  $0.01 Re_\delta$  to the power minus 1 by 6 where  $Re_\delta$  is  $U_\infty \delta$  by  $\nu$ , and it is valid in the range of Reynolds number  $\delta$  10 to the power 4 and 10 to the power 7 ok. So, this is actually from the curve-fitting values of the expression proposed by White.

And this if you use in the momentum integral equation which we have already derived you can see that after putting the one-seventh law of velocity profile, we have derived  $\frac{7}{72} \frac{d\delta}{dx}$  is equal to  $\frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_\infty^2}$ . So, you can see that we can now use this  $\frac{7}{72} \frac{d\delta}{dx}$  is equal to 0.01 and  $Re_\delta$  is  $U_\infty \delta / \nu$  to the power minus 1 by 6 ok.

So, after rearrangement, we can write  $\delta$  to the power 1 by 6  $\frac{d\delta}{dx}$  is equal to 0.01 into  $\frac{72}{7} U_\infty / \nu$  to the power minus 1 by 6. So, if you integrate it, we will get  $\frac{6}{7} \delta$  to the power  $\frac{7}{6}$  is equal to  $0.01$  into  $\frac{72}{7} U_\infty / \nu$  to the power minus 1 by 6  $x$  plus integration constant  $c$ .

So, again assuming the turbulent boundary layer flow prevails from the leading edge of the flat plate, we can use that  $x$  tends to 0,  $\delta$  tends to 0. So, putting this condition, you can find the integration constant  $c$  as 0. So, as  $x$  tends to 0,  $\delta$  tends to 0, that will give  $c$  is equal to 0.

(Refer Slide Time: 21:49)

**Momentum Integral Method**

*Rearranging,*

$$\delta(x) = 0.16 \left( \frac{U_\infty}{\nu} \right)^{-1/7} x^{4/7}$$
$$\frac{\delta(x)}{x} = 0.16 \operatorname{Re}x^{-1/7}$$
$$\frac{C_f}{2} \approx 0.01 \left( \frac{U_\infty \delta}{\nu} \right)^{-1/6}$$
$$\frac{C_f}{2} = 0.0135 \operatorname{Re}x^{-1/7}$$

So, if you put  $c$  is equal to 0 and rearrange it, then we will get  $\delta x$  is equal to  $0.16 U_\infty$  by  $\nu$  to the power minus 1 by 7  $x$  to the power 6 by 7. Now, after rearranging, we can write  $\delta$  by  $x$  as  $0.16 \operatorname{Re} x$  to the power minus 1 by 7. And now this  $\delta$  if you put in the expression of  $c_f$ , then we will get  $c_f$  by 2 as  $0.01 U_\infty \delta$  by  $\nu$  to the power minus 1 by 6, so that we have written now.

So, after putting the value of  $\delta$  by  $x$  ok in this expression, so we can write  $c_f$  by 2 as  $0.0135 \operatorname{Re} x$  to the power minus 1 by 7. So, you can see this expression of this boundary layer thickness and the skin friction coefficient, we have represented in terms of the Reynolds number.

So, in today's class, we use the momentum integral equation which we derived for the laminar boundary layer flow, and used the Blasius correlation for the shear stress in the

momentum integral equation. And also we have used one-seventh law of velocity profile as the velocity profile in the momentum integral equation.

So, to solve this turbulent boundary layer flow over a flat plate using momentum integral equation, we use the Blasius correlation for the shear stress in terms of the boundary layer thickness, and then we use the one-seventh law of velocity profile to solve the momentum integral equation.

So, using these correlations, we have found the boundary layer thickness  $\delta$ . And from there we have expressed the skin friction coefficient in terms of Reynolds number. The one of the limitation for this Prandtl von Karman solution is that the Blasius correlation actually based on limited data.

So, later many scientists proposed different correlations based on experimental data. And using White's correlation with a curve-fitting, again we use the shear stress correlation and we found the boundary layer thickness and the skin friction coefficient in terms of Reynolds number based on the free stream velocity  $U_\infty$ , the  $x$  and the kinematic viscosity  $\nu$ .

Thank you.