## Robotics: Basics and Selected Advanced Concepts Prof. Ashitava Ghosal Department of Mechanical Engineering Centre for Product Design and Manufacturing (CPDM) Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru

## Lecture - 06 Examples of D-H parameters and Link transformation matrices

(Refer Slide Time: 00:20)



Welcome to this NPTEL lectures on Robotics: Basic and Advanced Concepts. So, this is Week 2 and the 3rd Lecture of this week. In the previous Lecture 2, we had looked at how to model and represent the links and joints of a robot. In this lecture, we will look at examples of D-H parameters, link transformation matrices etcetera.

So, to recapitulate, in the previous lecture, I had shown you that there are two main mechanical elements of a robot - the links and joints. A joint allows relative motion between the connected links or another way of saying, a joint impose constraints. So, a rotary joint allows relative rotation between two links or another way of saying that from the six-degrees of freedom of the second link there are five constraints which are imposed by the rotary joint.

To continue, in a serial robot we mainly use one-degree of freedom rotary and prismatic actuated joints and that is simply because it is sort of hard to put more than one actuator at one place and implement a multi degree of freedom joint. However, in a parallel and hybrid

robot, we have passive multi degree of freedom joints and actuated one-degree of freedom joints . So, we have I will show you later that in a parallel robot, we can have spherical joint which is not actuated.

The one-degree of freedom rotary and prismatic joints are represented by lines along joint axis, the Z axis is always along the joint axis ok. So, as far as the link is concerned, we will have a Z axis which is along the joint axis. And I showed you how to formulate the constraints imposed by various kinds of joints. So, I showed you how to obtain the five constraints for the rotary joint connecting two rigid bodies - two came from a matrix equation relating the orientation of the second rigid body with respect to the first rigid body and three came from the position vector of a point on the joint axis.

The link as I mentioned in my previous lecture is a rigid body in 3D space. Typically, a link or a rigid body in 3D space would require six parameters, three position and three orientation. However, because they are connected by rotary and prismatic joints, they can be represented by 4 D-H parameters and I showed you that they in these 4 D-H parameters, two were angles and two were distances. So, there was a twist angle and there was a link rotation angle and then there was a link length and a link offset.

Typically for arbitrary joint axis, none we can easily find the D-H parameters. However, there are some special cases and namely, I showed you there were four special cases; when the two consecutive joint axes are parallel, what happens when the two consecutive joint axis intersect and what do we do for the first and the last link? So, I showed you there is a convention of how to obtain the D-H parameters for these special cases.

Once we have the D-H parameters for each link, we can use these D-H parameters to obtain the 4 by 4 link transformation matrix ok. Recall again, a link transformation matrix is a 4 by 4 homogeneous transformation matrix which contains - the top 3 by 3 is the orientation of link i with respect to i minus 1 and the last column is the position of the origin of link *i* with respect to i - 1.

## (Refer Slide Time: 04:35)



Let us continue. We will now look at examples of D-H parameters and link transformation matrices.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:43)



So, just to recapitulate again, what are the steps to obtain the D-H parameters for a n link serial robot? First label all the joint axis from 1 to the free end. So, 1 is near the fixed - 1 is connecting the fixed coordinate system with the first coordinate system first link and n is the last or the free end.

Assign  $Z_i$  to joint axis *i* for each one of those joints - *i* equals 1 through *n*. Obtain mutual perpendicular between lines  $Z_{i-1}$  and  $Z_i$  between two consecutive joint axis  $Z_{i-1}$  and  $Z_i$  and we call them as  $X_{i-1}$  and the origin  $O_{i-1}$  on the joint axis *i* - 1. So,  $Z_{i-1}$ ,  $X_{i-1}$ ,  $O_{i-1}$  determine the coordinate system which is attached to link *i* - 1.

Then, I showed you that we can handle special cases which are two consecutive joint axes being parallel or intersecting or perpendicular. So, all these special cases we can handle and finally, what happens when we have first and last link. So, eventually after these steps, we can obtain the 4 D-H parameters for link *i* and they are called  $\alpha_{i-1}$ ,  $a_{i-1}$ ,  $d_i$  and  $\theta_i$ .

So,  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is the twist angle, it is angle between two consecutive Z axis,  $a_{i-1}$  is the link length, that is the distance along the common perpendicular between  $Z_{i-1}$  and  $Z_i$ ,  $d_i$  is the link offset - it is the distance along  $Z_i$  axis from  $X_{i-1}$  to  $X_i$  and  $\theta_i$  is called the link rotation, it is the angle between  $X_{i-1}$  and  $X_i$  about  $Z_i$ . So, with these 4 D-H parameters, I can obtain the link transformation matrix *i* with respect to *i* - *1* using some equation (10). We will come back to this equation then once more. And once we obtain this link transformation matrix, we can find any link with respect to the fixed coordinate system ok. So, if I want to find the transformation matrix of link *i* with respect to 0 so, all we need to do is multiply matrices 0 to 1, 1 to 2 all the way till *i* ok.

And what does this  ${}^{0}{}_{i}$  [T] contain? It contains the orientation and position of link *i* with respect to the 0 th coordinate system. We can also obtain that link transformation matrix for any link *j* with respect to any other link *i*. So, if I want to find the link transformation matrix between 2 and 5, then we have to go from 2 to 3 then 3 to 4 and then 4 to 5.

## (Refer Slide Time: 08:07)



Let us take our example. The first example is that of a planar 3R manipulator. So, 3R here means that there are 3 revolute joints. So, there is this is one revolute joint, this is the second revolute joint and this is the third revolute joint. All 3 rotary axis of the revolute joint axis are parallel, and they are pointing out. So,  $Z_1$  is here,  $Z_2$  is here, and  $Z_3$  is pointing out ok.

The 0 th coordinate system is described by  $Z_0$  and  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  is to the right here ok. So, this is a choice we have made of the 0 th coordinate system. The origin of  $O_0$  and  $O_1$  is at the same place. So, the first link origin or the first coordinate system origin is at the same place as the 0 th coordinate system. So, the second origin is shown here  $O_2$  and the third origin is shown here as  $O_3$  ok. So, we will go over this once more carefully, but let us quickly show you that the  $X_1$  axis is the common perpendicular between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  so, this is the line along  $X_1$  axis.

The  $X_2$  axis is along the common perpendicular between  $Z_2$  and  $Z_3$  as shown here ok.  $X_3$  is a special case; we will show how to do it. So, once  $X_1$  is known,  $Y_1$  is perpendicular to this as shown here,  $Y_2$  is perpendicular to  $X_2$  as shown here ok. So, the first coordinate system {1} is determined by  $X_1$ ,  $Y_1$  and the origin  $O_1$  which is here. Second coordinate system is determined by  $X_2$ ,  $Y_2$  and the origin which is shown here ok.



So, as I said for first coordinate system, the origin  $O_1$  and  $Z_1$  are coincident with  $O_0$  and  $Z_0$ . So,  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  are coincident with  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  when  $\theta_1$  is zero. So, this is important that this is how the first coordinate system is assigned. It is a special case and  $X_1$  is along the mutual perpendicular between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  as I have shown.

Likewise,  $X_2$  is along the mutual perpendicular between  $Z_2$  and  $Z_3$ . For the 3rd coordinate system, which is also a special case,  $X_3$  is aligned to  $X_2$ , when  $\theta_3$  is 0 ok. So, as you can see here  $X_2$  is this way,  $X_3$  is this way, the angle  $\theta_3$  is between  $X_3$  and  $X_2$  or  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  and when  $\theta_3$  is 0,  $X_3$  and  $X_2$  will be aligned to each other.

 $O_2$  is located at the intersection of the mutual perpendicular along  $X_2$  and  $Z_2$ .  $O_3$  is chosen such that  $d_3$  is zero and likewise, the origins of {1}, {2} and {3} are as shown in the figure. So, once we have assigned the origins and the axis for each of the links, then we can determine the D-H parameters ok.



So, for the first link *i* equals 1,  $\alpha_{i-1}$ ,  $a_{i-1}$  and  $d_i$  is 0 - this is part of the convention. For the first link and the last link, we have some special way of assigning the 4 D-H parameters. Only the joint variable  $\theta_I$  is shown here. For *i* equals 2 which is the second link,  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is 0 why? Because the joint, all the joint axes are parallel and hence, all the  $\alpha_{i-1}$  are 0.

What is  $a_{i-1}$ ? Ok.  $a_{i-1}$  is the link length 2 remember; however, it is the distance between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  ok. So, this the link is here, but  $a_{i-1}$  is this distance so, this is the part of the convention. So, this is  $l_1$  ok. So,  $a_{i-1}$  is  $l_1$ ,  $d_i$  is 0 because this is a planar example, and the joint angle is  $\theta_2$  or the link rotation of link 2 is  $\theta_2$ .

Likewise, for link 3, *i* equals 3,  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is 0, the link length is  $l_2$ , *d* is 0 and this is  $\theta_3$ . So, let us go back again and see carefully. This is because of this convention; we have this unusual subscript and subscripts. So, the link length of link 2 is actually this distance  $l_1$ . The link length of 3 is actually this distance  $l_2$ . So, that is what the D-H convention are showing.

So, for *i* equals 3,  $l_2$  is here. Actual  $l_3$  does not show up anywhere in these D-H parameters, the distance  $l_3$  that we will see later, we have to assign some other coordinate system at the tool and then, we can assign this  $l_3$  ok. So, recall that the origin is on the joint axis and the link is after the joint axis. So, hence, this is the way the D-H parameters come up.

So, in this example of a planar 3R manipulator, most of the D-H parameters are 0 except this  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  and of course there are these 3 joint variables, 3 rotary joint variables. So, as

I have mentioned, the length of the end effector  $l_3$  does not appear in the table. Recall D-H parameters are till the origin which is at the beginning of the link.

So, if I want to show an end effector coordinate system whatever the robot is gripping, we have to define a coordinate system called {*Tool*} and how do we define this coordinate system {*Tool*}? The axis of the {*Tool*} is parallel to {3}, the last coordinate system. So, another way of saying is the rotation matrix between {*Tool*} with respect to {3} is identity and the origin of the {*Tool*} is the midpoint of this parallel jaw gripper which is shown here at a distance  $l_3$  from  $O_3$  along  $X_3$  ok.

So, let us go back and see the picture once more. So, I have assigned the {*Tool*} coordinate system at the midpoint of this parallel jaw gripper. The  $X_3$  and  $X_{Tool}$  coordinate system axis are parallel ok. So,  $Y_{Tool}$  is here  $Y_3$  is here. So, the {*Tool*} coordinate system is parallel to the 3rd coordinate system. However, it is located at a distance  $l_3$  from the last origin which is  $O_3$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 16:10)



So, once we have all these D-H parameters, we can go back and substitute in the link transformation matrix. So, this is what was equation (10). So, the general form of a link transformation matrix of a link *i* with respect to *i* - *1* is given by the  $c \theta_i$ , -  $s \theta_i$ , 0 and then  $a_{i-1}$ , then -  $s \alpha_{i-1} d_i$ ,  $c \alpha_{i-1} d_i$ . So, the last column represents the location of the origin of link *i* with respect to link *i* - *1*. The top 3 by 3 is the rotation or the orientation of link *i* with respect to link *i* - *1*.

So, if I now substitute 0, 0, 0,  $\theta_1$  in this equation, I will get the transformation matrix  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T]. So, remember  $\theta_1$  is so, this will be  $c_1$  which is corresponding to  $\cos \theta_1$ , this is  $\sin \theta_1$ , this is 0 anyway,  $a_{i-1}$  first row was 0 so, we will get this 0,  $c \alpha_{i-1}$  is 1 because  $\alpha_i$   $_{-1}$  is 0. So, the second row will be  $s_1$ ,  $c_1$ , 0, 0. The third row is 0 because  $\sin \alpha_{i-1}$  is 0 so, 0, 0, 1, 0 and the last row for any transformation matrix, link transformation matrix is 0, 0, 0, 1 ok.

To obtain the transformation matrix 2 to 1 ok, we substitute the second row. So, what was the second row? 0,  $l_1$ , 0,  $\theta_2$ . If you substitute 0,  $l_1$ , 0,  $\theta_2$  here, you will get  $\cos \theta_2$ , -  $\sin \theta_2$ , 0,  $l_1$  because  $a_{i-1}$  is  $l_1$  and then,  $s_2$ ,  $c_2$ , 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 and then three 0's, 1 so, it is very straightforward. We just pick whatever is in the row of the D-H table and substitute in this link transformation matrix.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:35)



Third row is 0,  $l_2$ , 0,  $\theta_3$ . So,  ${}^2_3$ [T] is given by this. And as I said I have defined a {*Tool*} coordinate system at the midpoint of the parallel jaw gripper. The {*Tool*} coordinate system, the rotation matrix is parallel to the third coordinate system. So, hence this is an identity matrix. However, it is along a distance, along the X axis by  $l_3$ . So, this is the  ${}^3_{Tool}$ [T] transformation matrix. The position and orientation of the tool with respect to the third coordinate system is given by this.



If I want to obtain let us say what is the third coordinate system with respect to the 0th coordinate system, all I need to do is multiply  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T]  ${}^{1}_{2}$ [T]  ${}^{2}_{3}$ [T] and what you can see is you will get a expression like this. So, in this case  $c_{123}$  means cosine of  $\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{3}$  ok,  $c_{12}$  means cosine of  $\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}$ .

So, does this make sense? Let us go back and see the figure for once because this is a planar example we can see. So, please remember that the X coordinate of the third origin with respect to the 0 origin is  $l_1 c_1 + l_2 c_{12}$ . The Y coordinate is  $l_1 s_1 + l_2 s_{12}$ . The orientation of the X axis and the Y axis is determined by the sum of the three angles ok.

So, let us go back and see this figure. So, I want to know what is the position of this point  $O_3$  with respect to the 0th coordinate system. So, from basic high school trigonometry, what you can see is the X coordinate is nothing, but  $l_1$  into  $\cos \theta_1$  so, the projection along X axis is  $l_1 c_1$ . The angle with respect to the horizontal of this link is  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ . So, this point will be  $l_2 c_{12}$  or cosine of  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ . So, the X coordinate, this will be  $l_1 c_1 + l_2 c_{12}$ .

Likewise, the Y coordinate will be  $l_1 \sin \theta_1 + l_2 \sin (\theta_1 + \theta_2)$  and what is this angle with respect to the horizontal? This will be  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$  so, which is the orientation of this link.

So, at least for this example, we can clearly see that whatever we know from basic high school trigonometry, it matches with whatever we have derived using link transformation matrices. All this D-H convention and all these link transformation matrices and all these

advanced concepts basically tells you the same thing as what we know from basic trigonometry, at least for this planar example. This makes sense.

If you want to obtain the {*Tool*} coordinate system with respect to the 0th coordinate system so, we can just multiply  ${}^{0}_{3}$ [T]  ${}^{3}_{Tool}$ [T] ok. So, again because the rotation matrix of this {*Tool*} coordinate system is identity with respect to the third - nothing happens to the this 3 by 3 part. However, the position now, we will have one term which is  $l_{3} c_{123}$ . So, if you go back and see the picture, this again makes sense.

(Refer Slide Time: 22:30)



Let us take a three-degree of freedom spatial robot ok. So, we in the previous example is that our planar 3R robot. This is the very well-known PUMA 560 manipulator ok. So, it is a six-degree of freedom manipulator with all rotary joints.

So, first thing is we need to assign the coordinate axis. So, in this picture, what you can see is there is one degree of freedom here as I have if you follow my cursor. The second joint is here which is along this direction which is perpendicular to the first joint, the third joint is here which is parallel to the second joint and the fourth, fifth and sixth joint are intersecting at one place.

So, this figure here shows what are the fourth, fifth and sixth joint axis. The  $Z_4$  axis is along this direction.  $Z_5$  axis is perpendicular going into the page - X, Y, Z going into the page and the  $Z_6$  axis is again parallel to the  $Z_4$  axis. So, we have the  $Z_1$  vertical,  $Z_2$  along

perpendicular to that,  $Z_3$  is parallel to  $Z_2$  and then, Z 4, 5 and 6 are along the directions as shown here.

So, once we have all this  $Z_1$  through  $Z_6$ , we can now determine what is  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$  and so on. So, what is  $X_1$ ?  $X_1$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . So,  $Z_2$  is in this direction,  $Z_1$  is in this direction so, these two axes are intersecting. So, this is a special case. So,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  lie in a plane so,  $X_1$  is the common normal to the plane, this is normal to the plane. So, this is  $X_1$ .

How about  $X_2$  ok?  $X_2$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_2$  which is this way and  $Z_3$  which is this way ok. So, now, these two axes are parallel to each other. So, again this is a special case ok. So, there are infinitely many common perpendiculars. So, we can choose any one of them. So, in this example,  $X_2$  is this way as shown here. So,  $Z_2$  is this way,  $Z_3$  is this way,  $X_2$  is along the common perpendicular to this.

Likewise, what is  $X_3$ ?  $X_3$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_3$  and  $Z_4$  so,  $Z_4$  is here. So, this is in 3D. So, it is sort of hard to visualize and this is one of the toughest problem in kinematics of robots how to assign the coordinate axis, the origins and obtain the D-H parameters. So, if you can do that, then everything else is sort of mechanical.

So, let us go back to what is  $X_3$ .  $X_3$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_3$  which is here, and  $Z_4$  is here. So, it is not very clear how  $Z_3$  and  $Z_4$  are related. We have to look at this figure, the right-hand side. So, in this case,  $Z_3$  is going into the page and  $Z_4$  is like this. So, the common perpendicular is from this direction, this is  $X_3$  ok.

Next, we have to find out what is  $X_4$ ? So,  $X_4$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_4$  and  $Z_5$  which is here, they are intersecting. So, we need to choose the normal to the plane formed by  $Z_4$  and  $Z_5$ . So, in this case,  $X_4$  is shown here. What is  $X_5$ ?  $X_5$  is the common perpendicular between  $Z_5$  which is going into the page and  $Z_6$  which is again shown here, they are intersecting ok. So, in this case again, we have to this is a special case - we have to choose what is  $X_5$ .

And what is  $X_6$ ? That is the last link, again we have to follow one of the rules for the special case. So, we have assigned  $Z_1$  through  $Z_6$ ,  $X_1$  through  $X_6$  and where is the origin? The origin is at the intersection of the common perpendicular with say for example,  $O_1$  is at the common perpendicular between along joint axis 1 and the common perpendicular so,  $O_1$ 

is here ok.  $O_2$  is also at the same place if you think about it. The origin of the third coordinate system is as shown here; it is at the foot of the common perpendicular along  $X_3$ with  $Z_3$ . Then, the origins of 4, 5 and 6, because all three joint axes are intersecting are at the same place. So, this is at slightly difficult example, we have to think in 3D, we have to visualize the joint axis in 3D ok.

So, again very quickly,  $Z_1$  through  $Z_6$  are along the joint axis,  $X_1$  through  $X_6$  are along the common perpendiculars and the origin is on the joint axis. So, origin  $O_1$  is on the joint axis 1, origin  $O_2$  is at the joint axis 2, both are at the same place because 1 and 2 are intersecting and likewise for all other joints.

(Refer Slide Time: 28:07)



So, once you have assigned the axis and the origins, we can quickly find the D-H parameters. So, I will do this little slowly because this is the first example of a 3D case. So, for link 1, this is a special case. So, as I have shown you, first joint is a rotary joint. So, hence, the twist angle  $\alpha_{i-1}$ , link length  $a_{i-1}$ ,  $d_i$  is all 0 and we have  $\theta_1$ .

For link 2 ok, see here I have written  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is  $-\pi/2$ ,  $a_{i-1}$  is 0,  $d_i$  is 0 and this is  $\theta_2$ . So, let us check whether we actually have  $-\pi/2$  or not ok. So, what is  $\alpha_{i-1}$  for link 2? It is the angle between this angle between  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  so,  $Z_1$  is like this,  $Z_2$  is also like this as you can see here and about  $X_1$ . So,  $Z_1$  if you do the right-handed rule, you can see  $Z_1$  to  $Z_2$ , if I have to do I have to go by 270 degrees or  $-\pi/2$  so,  $Z_1$  is like this and I have to go all around to  $Z_2$  about  $X_1$  so, this is  $-\pi/2$ . How about  $a_{i-1}$  for link 2? It is 0 because it is intersecting and then, we have  $\theta_2$ . So, this is  $-\pi/2$ , 0, 0,  $\theta_2$ .

Let us look at link 3. Recall that  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is 0, link length is  $a_2$ ,  $d_i$  is  $d_3$  and this is  $\theta_3$  ok. So, let us verify. So, for link 3, what is  $a_{i-1}$ ? It is the distance between  $Z_2$  and  $Z_3$  about  $X_3$ . So,  $Z_2$  is this way,  $Z_3$  is this way and it is the distance from  $Z_2$  to  $Z_3$  about this so, this is  $a_2$ . What is  $d_3$ ? It is the distance between the two X axis - between  $X_2$  which is this way,  $X_3$  which is this way so; we have  $d_3$  here ok. So, this is the distance  $X_2$  and  $X_1$  are at the same place here so, this is  $d_3$ . The third row is 0,  $a_2$ ,  $d_3$ ,  $\theta_3$ .

Likewise, for link number 4, you can see it is  $-\pi/2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $d_4$ . This is the last one we will see carefully, the others you can see yourself ok. So, link 4, what is  $\alpha_{i-1}$ ? It is the angle between  $Z_3$  and  $Z_4$ . So,  $Z_3$  is going into the page here,  $Z_4$  is going into the on the right side here along this axis and we have to find the angle between  $Z_3$  which is into the page and  $Z_4$  which is like this. So, again you can see that we have to rotate by 270 degrees or  $-\pi/2$  ok. So, this is  $-\pi/2$ .

What is the distance? The distance is between  $Z_3$  and  $Z_4$  so, this is  $a_3$ . And finally, we have  $d_4$  which is the distance between  $X_3$  and  $X_4$  which is this  $d_4$ . So, the fourth row is  $-\pi/2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $d_4$ ,  $\theta_4$ . The fifth row you can see yourself that angle twist angle is  $\pi/2$ , this is 0, 0,  $\theta_5$ . This, these two are 0 simply because we are intersecting.

Finally, the sixth row, the angle twist angle is well-defined it is  $-\pi/2$ , link length is welldefined because it is also 0, this  $d_i$  is according to convention, this is the last link  $d_i$  will be what? This will be the distance between  $X_6$  and  $X_7$ , there is no such thing as  $X_7$  for this ok, there is no 7th joint. So, by convention, we put this as 0 ok.

So, as you can see for this PUMA robot which is one of the original robots. We now have a D-H table which consists of six rows -- because there are six joints and as you can see, there are quite a lot of special cases ok. So, although we looked at special cases in D-H parameters after the general case, but most of the time a robot is built by a designer with joint axis which are parallel or intersecting. So, for example, many of these cases here, this joint and this joint is parallel,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are intersecting and so on.

```
      PUMA 560 MANIPULATOR - LINK
TRANSFORMS
      Image: Constraint of the second sec
```

So, once we have this D-H table, we can easily get all the link transformation matrices. So, if you go and substitute 0, 0, 0,  $\theta_1$  in the 1st row of that transformation matrix, you will get this  ${}^0_1$ [T]. For row number 2, we can get  ${}^1_2$ [T] and we will get this transformation matrix. So, it will be a function of  $\theta_2$ .  ${}^0_1$ [T] will be a function of  $\theta_1$  ok. For  ${}^2_3$ [T] it will be a function of  $\theta_3$  and this link lengths  $a_2$  and  $d_3$ . So, you can work it out and you can show - all we need to do is take each row and put it into the general form of the expression for the link transformation matrix.

(Refer Slide Time: 34:28)



For row 4, we get  ${}^{3}_{4}$ [T]. It is the function of  $\theta_{4}$  and also this link length  $a_{3}$  and this link offset  $d_{4}$  will show up. And for 5, we have  $\theta_{5}$  and there is no link length or an offset and finally, for 6, it is a function of  $\theta_{6}$ . We can easily find each linked transformation matrix or another way of saying, the position and orientation of a link with respect to the previous link.

(Refer Slide Time: 35:02)



If you want to find, just as an example, where what is the position and orientation of link 3 with respect to 0? So, we can do  ${}^{0}_{3}$ [T] which will give you  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T]  ${}^{1}_{2}$ [T]  ${}^{2}_{3}$ [T] and if you multiply it out, it will be a function of  $\theta_{1}$ ,  $\theta_{2}$ ,  $\theta_{3}$  and of course, this  $a_{2}$  and  $d_{3}$  ok.  $c_{23}$  here corresponds to cosine of ( $\theta_{2} + \theta_{3}$ ).

If you want to find 6 with respect to 3 - the coordinate system 6 with respect to the 3rd coordinate system - the position and orientation, again all we need to do is multiply relevant transformation matrices. So,  ${}^{3}_{6}[T]$  is nothing, but  ${}^{3}_{4}[T] {}^{4}_{5}[T] {}^{5}_{6}[T]$  and again, we have a rotation matrix which contains  $\theta_{4}$ ,  $\theta_{5}$  and  $\theta_{6}$  and the position vector which contains  $a_{3}$  and  $d_{4}$ . And as I have said, we can obtain any required link transformation matrix once the D-H table is known. I have just given you two examples in this slide.



Let us look at one more very commonly used robot which is called as a SCARA manipulator. So, SCARA stands for Selective Compliance Assembly Robot Arm ok. This manipulator is very very commonly used in electronic assembly. So, basically it is a four-degree of freedom robot and the four-degrees of freedom are there is one rotation here which is about  $Z_1$ ,  $\theta_1$ , there is another rotation which is parallel to the first one which is  $\theta_2$ , then this last link can go up and down with the variable  $d_3$  and finally, the end effector, the last link can rotate also about  $\theta_4$ . So, it has three rotary joints and one prismatic joint.

It is a 4 degree of freedom robot and it was invented by the Japanese to do assembly of electronic components. So, basically the robot can pick up an electronic assembly, take it to a certain place and push it down ok, the pins can be aligned with the holes of the PCB and then, you can push it down. The reason why it is called selective compliance is because we can by using controller gains properly, we can make sure that it is reasonably stiff while it is pushing down. However, if there is any small misalignment between the pins and the holes in the PCB, the  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  can change a little bit so, there can be some compliance in the rotation axis  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_4$  ok. So, you can think of it that this robot is picking up a pin, IC with pins and then, it is taken to the PCB however, it will never be exactly aligned ok.

So, while you are trying to push it down, this  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_4$  will slightly adjust slightly means very small amount and then, it can be pushed down by using  $\theta_4$  yeah sorry  $d_3$ .



So, how about the D-H parameters of this robot? So, the 0th and the 1st coordinate system have the same origin by convention. The origins of  $\{3\}$  and  $\{4\}$  are chosen at the base of the parallel jaw gripper ok. So,  $\{0\}$  and  $\{1\}$  is at the same place here, then  $\{3\}$  and  $\{4\}$  are chosen at the base of the parallel jaw gripper. Direction of  $Z_3$  is chosen pointing downwards. In this example,  $Z_3$  is this way ok. So, the reason why we are saying  $Z_3$  and  $Z_4$  and all these things are chosen this way because they determine the D-H table -- oh sorry  $Z_3$  is chosen pointing upwards not downwards. In the actual SCARA robot has a ball and screw joint at the third joint. However, we assume that the third joint is a prismatic joint.

So, the D-H table for the SCARA robot this first row is 0, 0, 0,  $\theta_1$ . This is first joint is rotary and this is a special case. For link number 2, it is 0,  $a_1$ , 0,  $\theta_2$  ok. Why is it 0,  $a_1$ , 0  $\theta_2$ ? So, this is the distance from here to here is  $a_1$ , the distance from here to here is  $a_2$  and this motion here is  $d_3$ . So, second row is 0,  $a_1$ , 0,  $\theta_2$ .

Third row is 0,  $a_2$ , -  $d_3$ , 0 - why -  $d_3$ ? Because the  $Z_3$  axis is chosen pointing upwards ok. So, if the  $Z_3$  axis was chosen in the opposite direction, then  $d_3$  would be positive. And the last row is also a special case it is 0, 0, 0,  $\theta_4$ .



And again, we can easily find each of these link transformation matrices so, for example,  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T] is nothing, but  $\cos \theta_{1}$ ,  $-\sin \theta_{1}$  and last row 0, 0, 0, 1 and so on.  ${}^{1}_{2}$ [T] is like this, it is  $c_{2}$ ,  $-s_{2}$  so, all we do is you take the elements of each row and substitute in the general link transformation matrix formula. So,  $a_{1}$  will show up here,  $a_{2}$  and  $-d_{3}$  will show up here. So, you can see {2} and {3} coordinate systems are parallel to each other.

Likewise,  $\theta_4$  so, {2} and {3} are they parallel to each other? Yes. So, this is {2} and {3}, they are parallel to each other, there is no rotation happening at this third joint, third joint is a prismatic joint. So, we can find the D-H table for the SCARA robot.

And once we know the D-H table, we can go and find each of these link transformation matrices and as I have said if I want, for example, the position and orientation of the 4th link with respect to the 0th link, I multiply  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T]  ${}^{1}_{2}$ [T]  ${}^{2}_{3}$ [T] and  ${}^{3}_{4}$ [T] and you will end up with a rotation matrix containing  $\theta_{1}$ ,  $\theta_{2}$  and  $\theta_{4}$  and then, the translation components, X component will be a  $a_{1} c_{1} + a_{2} c_{12}$ , Y component this and  $d_{3}$  is the Z. So, Z motion is  $d_{3}$  and the orientation is  $\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{4}$ . Third joint is prismatic; it does not contain any orientation or rotation ok.



Such that after these two examples, I want to extend this notion of D-H parameters to parallel robots or to close loop mechanism. The key idea is that we break a parallel robot or a closed loop mechanism into serial robots that is the most important thing. So, once you break it ok, so then we can have a parallel robot as broken into one or more serial robots and for each serial robot, we can easily find the D-H parameters ok.

What is the complication? The complication is there are several ways to break a parallel robot or a closed loop mechanism into serial robots. So, if you break it in one way, you will get one set of D-H table. If you break it in some other way, we will break, we will get another set of D-H parameters.

So, the obvious thing is to choose way to break such that you get simple serial manipulators. So, what is simple, the D-H table is simple that is the whole idea. And finally, when we want to do analysis, we want to do kinematic analysis, we can combine the serial manipulators using constraints.

So, I will show you, I can break off closed loop mechanism in several ways to obtain serial robots, I can find the D-H table of each one of the serial robots, but later on if I want to do analysis, I have to put it back at the place where I have broken which basically means you have to reintroduce some constraints.



The simplest possible parallel robot is actually a 4-bar mechanism. It is a one-degree of freedom mechanism. So, basically, I have one link here, link 2, link 3 and then there is a fixed link. It consists of four rotary joints 1, 2, 3 and 4. So, it is a closed loop mechanism.

So, we need to have different, two different fixed coordinate systems, these are  $Y_L$  and  $X_L$  and  $O_L$  ok. So, this define the left coordinate system, fixed coordinate system likewise, we have a right coordinate system consisting of  $X_R$ ,  $Y_R$  and origin  $O_R$  ok. So, this is a choice which makes the life simple, which makes the analysis simple.

Likewise, you can find the distance between  $O_L$  and this two consecutive revolute joints this is  $l_1$ , this distance is  $l_2$ , this distance is  $l_3$  and the location of this  $\{R\}$  coordinate system, fixed coordinate system, with respect to the  $\{L\}$  coordinate system is  $l_0$ . So, remember in a 4-bar mechanism, we have four links ok; one of them is the fixed link that is the way the links are counted in a 4-bar mechanism.

I can break the 4-bar mechanism into two serial manipulators ok. So, for example, if I break at the 3rd joint so, this is joint 1, joint 2, joint 3 so, if I break it here, so, what do I have? I have a 2R manipulator and a 1R manipulator. 1R means a single link manipulated with 1 joint ok, if I break it here.

• FOR 2R	planar manipula	tor – [	D-H par	ameter	with	n respec	t to { <i>L</i> }		
		i	$\alpha_{i-1}$	a <sub>i-1</sub>	di	$\theta_i$			
		1	0	0	0	$\theta_1$			
		2	0	1	0	$\phi_2$			
• For 1R	planar manipula	tor – [	D-H par	ameter	's wit	th respe	ct to $\{R$	'}	
		i	$\alpha_{i-1}$	a <sub>i-1</sub>	di	$\theta_i$			
		1	0	0	0	$\phi_1$			

So, if I break it there, for the 2R manipulator which we have looked at in the case of the planar 3R, we have two D-H tables ok.

So, for the 2R with respect to {L}, we have 0, 0,  $\theta_1$ , 0,  $l_1$ , 0,  $\phi_2$ . Remember we are not, we are calling this angle as  $\phi_2$ , this is  $\theta_1$  and this is  $\phi_1$  and for the 1R manipulator with respect to the R coordinate system, we have 0, 0, 0,  $\phi_1$  and there is a constant transformation matrix between {L} and {R} ok. They are parallel to each other, but they are separated by a distance  $l_0$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 46:42)



Let us look at a more complicated three-degree of freedom spatial parallel manipulator ok. So, this is called as a 3 R-P-S parallel manipulator - it is a spatial 3-degree of freedom parallel manipulator. It consists of three rotary joints in a fixed base and three spherical joints in a top moving platform and the spherical joint to the rotary joint, there is a prismatic joint ok.

So, the orientation of this link is given by  $\theta_3$ , the translation along the prismatic joint is  $l_3$  and then this is  $S_3$ . Likewise, we have  $R_1$ ,  $l_1$  and  $S_1$  and then, we have  $R_2$ , then one prismatic joint which is determined by  $l_2$  and this is  $S_2$  and the rotation is  $\theta_1$  here, this is  $\theta_1$  for the first chain, this is  $\theta_2$  for the second chain and  $\theta_3$  for this third chain.

So, in this example, as I have mentioned, this is a parallel robot with passive joints meaning what? Only the 3 prismatic joints  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$  are actuated, this rotary joint  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  they are passive, and we can also have three spherical joints which are passive so,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ . This is called 3 R-P-S because this is R, P, S and there are three of them ok.

So, we have a top moving platform and a fixed base. So, if I change the prismatic joint variables  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$ , this top platform can move and you can show later or we have seen if you want to apply some formula, we can show that it has 3 degrees of freedom. In this figure, it is shown at the home position; the Z axis of the fixed coordinate system X, Y, Z and this 0 need not pass through the point center of the top platform.

Each leg is R-P-S configuration. There are three prismatic joints which are actuated. This was first proposed by Lee and Shah, two researchers in 1988, as a parallel wrist - meaning parallel wrist means we want to orient the platform - it is done in the PUMA robot with the last three joints ok, the last three joint is orient the object which it is gripping. Actually, it is not a parallel wrist the three-degrees of freedom are not the three rotations ok.



So, the D-H parameters for the first leg with respect to  $\{L_1\}$  so, we fix one coordinate system  $\{L_1\}$ , another one  $\{L_1\}$  here,  $\{L_2\}$  here,  $\{L_3\}$  here fixed coordinate system in the base can be shown to be nothing but 0, 0,  $\phi_1$ . What is  $\phi_1$ ? It is some rotation angle of this first one, it is not the same as  $\theta_1$ , but they are related ok. What will be  $\phi_1$ ? Actually,  $\phi_1$  will be between X and the Z axis, but the angle here is shown as between this line and this line so, it is basically  $\pi - \phi_1$  ok. So, the  $\alpha_{i-1}$  angle, which is nothing, but the angle between the rotary joint axis and this prismatic joint axis is  $\pi/2$  is  $-\pi/2$  actually and the joint variables is  $l_1$  ok.

So, the D-H parameters for all the three legs is same except the reference coordinate systems are So, inherently what have we done here? What we have done is we have broken up this parallel robot into three serial robots. So, R, P and it is broken here, it is broken here, and it is broken at the S joints. So, basically each serial link has two joints, a rotary joint and a prismatic joint.

So, this D-H table is quite simple, it contains only two joints and hence and two links so, *i* is equal to 1 and 2 and we get this one joint variable which is  $\phi_1$  and one joint variable which is  $l_1$ . For the second one, it will be labeled as  $\phi_2$  and  $l_2$  and so on.

So, the {*Base*} is located at the center of the base platform. So, we can have a coordinate system between {*Base*} and { $L_1$ }. So, we can have a transformation matrix between this base coordinate system which is X, Y, Z and this origin and the { $L_1$ } coordinate system

which is here. So, this example or in this figure, we can see that the translation from the base origin to the origin is along some along the X axis whereas for this, it is not along the X axis, it is at some angle to the X axis and for the third one, it is some other angle to the X axis. This can be found out. So, we can find the transformation matrix between the  $\{Base\}$  and the three,  $\{L_1\}$ ,  $\{L_2\}$ ,  $\{L_3\}$  and note the angle  $\theta_1$  shown in the figure is same as  $\pi/2 - \phi_1$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 52:44)

Now we can find three transformation matrices for each of these legs. So, between  $\{L_1\}$  and  $\{1\}$ , we have this transformation matrix, which is given by  $c_1$ , -  $s_1$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $c_1$  and so on. Between  $\{1\}$  and  $\{2\}$ , I have a transformation matrix which contains  $l_1$  ok.

The transformation matrix between {2} and the spherical joint is identity matrix because  $S_I$  is located at the center of the spherical joint and is parallel to {2}. So, how do I find what is the location of the 1st spherical joint with respect to the {*Base*}? We go from {*Base*} to { $L_1$ } which is known, { $L_1$ } and {1} which is a function of  $\theta_I$ , {1} to {2} which is the function of the translation and between {2} and  $S_I$  which is identity - it is some distance if maybe.

Likewise, we can multiply this out and what you can show is, so the {*Base*} to  $S_1$ , this position vector, so from here to this vector, will be nothing, but we go along this, then we go along this, did go to this ok. So, you can see it will be some distance from O to this point along the X axis, then some something which will vary with the angle  $\theta_1$  and

something with which will vary with respect to  $l_1$ . It turns out that you will get the position vector of the first spherical joint is  $b - l_1 \cos \theta_1$ , Y coordinate will be 0 and the Z coordinate will be  $l_1 \sin \theta_1$ . Does this make sense? More or less ok, you can go back and see the picture.

So, this distance is *b* so, it is *b* and then, this angle is  $\theta_1$  so,  $l_1 \cos \theta_1$  so, it will be  $b - l_1 \cos \theta_1$  so, this three points lie in a plane, the Y axis is perpendicular to that plane. So, the Y coordinate will be 0 and the Z coordinate will be  $l_1 \sin \theta_1$ . So, that is what is written here. So, *b* is the distance of  $R_1$  from the origin of the {*Base*}.

Likewise, the location of  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , the position vector locating the spherical joints can be determined by -b/2 (1/2)  $l_2 \cos \theta_2$  and so on. So, we have assumed that these three points are 120 degrees apart. So, that is why we have we get this  $\sqrt{3}/2$  and so on ok.

So, these three vectors can be obtained. Again, just go back to the D-H table, find out the transformation matrices, link transformation matrices, multiply the link transformation matrices and then pick the last column of the 4 by 4 homogeneous transformation matrix.

PARALLEL MANIPULATORS: SIX DOF EXAMPLE Moving platform connected to fixed base by three chains. • Each chain is R-R-R & S joint at top. Model of a three-fingered hand (Salisbury, 1982) gripping an object with point contact and no-slip. Each finger modeled with R-R-R joints and point of contact modeled as S joint. FIGURE: A six DOF parallel (hybrid) manipulator NPTEL, 2020 66 / 70

(Refer Slide Time: 56:15)

This is another example of a six-degree of freedom parallel robot ok. So, this is basically a hybrid robot why? Because there are these chains which are serial robots and then, there is this loops. So, I can go from this first joint, I can go like this to one spherical joint, then go to another spherical joint and come back to 3 likewise, I can go from one to one spherical joint then come back to another the second fixed rotary joint ok.

So, each chain is R-R-R and S to the top. So, this is an interesting robot. It has three chains, and it is also a model of a three-fingered hand and which was proposed by Salisbury in 1982. If you have these three-fingers and each finger is modeled as three rotary joints so, you can see that the first rotary joint is what is happening at the one point, then you have these two joints which are parallel to each other. So, this is let us say the index finger, this is the middle finger, and this is the thumb ok. So, this is a model of a three-fingered hand which is gripping an object. So, when you grip an object, there are various kinds of contact between the fingers and the object and one such model is a spherical joint, it is called point contact with friction.

So, the point of contact between the finger and the object is modeled as a spherical joint and we have each finger is modeled with three rotary joints. So, we want to model this parallel robot. So, first step again is to find out the D-H table and then, maybe we can find out where, what is this point of contact here with respect to the fixed coordinate system and so on.

SIX	DOF	EXAN	1PLE	:	D-]	H			1
PARA	METERS	AND	LIN	K T	RANS	5-			
FORM	15								
• D-	H paramete	rs for R-R	R-R ch	ain.	0				
			i	$\alpha_{i-1}$	a <sub>i-1</sub>	di	$\theta_i$		
			1	0	0	0	$\theta_1$		
			2	$\pi/2$	1/11	0	$\psi_1$		
			3	0	1/12	0	$\phi_1$		
• D-	H paramete	r does no	t conta	ain last	t link le	ngth	1/13.		
• D-	H paramete	rs for thre	e fing	ers wit	h respe	ct to	{F_i}.	i = 1,2,3	3 identical.
• Ca	an obtain tra	ansformati	ion ma	trix $\frac{F_i}{p_i}$	[ <i>T</i> ] by	matr	ix mul	tiplication	
Colored Statements					and the second second	-		1.11.7	Criterie e

(Refer Slide Time: 58:18)

So, if you have this R-R-R chain so, I have one rotary joint, another rotary joint, another rotary joint. So, what you can see is that the first rotary joint is along the Z, the second rotary joint is perpendicular to the first rotary joint and the third rotary joint is parallel to

the second rotary joint. So, the D-H table here 1st one is 0, 0, 0,  $\theta_1$  because this is convention, this is the first link. The 2nd rotary joint is rotated 90 degrees with respect to the 1st rotary joint and hence, you have  $\pi/2$  and then, you have these two link lengths  $l_{11}$  and  $l_{12}$  ok.

So, what is  $l_{11}$ ?  $l_{11}$  is the distance from this point to this point and  $l_{12}$  is the distance of this to this point,  $l_{13}$  does not appear why? Because the D-H parameter is starting from the

the two D-H parameters for the first R-R-R chain.

first join the Defriparative to the Shot containing that in the life Solution of the three fingers are identical. You can see except so, this one, this one and this one, they are all identical except they are with respect to three different coordinate systems ok. So, this is with respect to this coordinate system, this is with respect to this coordinate system, I have located at this fixed place and this is the third one ok.

So, if you call the fixed points of the R-R-R chain as  $F_i$  so, with respect to  $\{F_i\}$  they are identical, and we can find the transformation matrix  ${}^{F_i}{}_{P_i}$  [T]. What is  $P_i$ ?  $P_i$  is this points,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ . So, I can find the transformation matrix of  ${}^{F_i}{}_{P_i}$  [T] -  $P_i$  to  $F_i$ ,  $P_1$  to  $F_1$ ,  $P_2$  to  $F_2$  and so on. How do I do that --it is just by matrix multiplication again.

(Refer Slide Time: 60:38)

SIX DOF EXAMPLE: LINK  
TRANSFORMS (CONTD.)  
• Position vector of spherical joint i  

$$f_{i}\mathbf{p}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{i}(l_{i1} + l_{i2}\cos\psi_{i} + l_{i3}\cos(\psi_{i} + \phi_{i})) \\ l_{i2}\sin\psi_{i} + l_{i3}\sin(\psi_{i} + \phi_{i}) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
• With respect to {Base}, the locations of {F<sub>i</sub>}, i = 1,2,3, are known and constant (see Figure)  
 $Base \mathbf{b}_{1} = (0, -d, h)^{T} Base \mathbf{b}_{2} = (0, d, h)^{T} Base \mathbf{b}_{3} = (0, 0, 0)^{T}$   
• Orientation of {F<sub>i</sub>}, i = 1,2,3, with respect to {Base} are also known - {F<sub>1</sub>} and {F<sub>2</sub>} are parallel to {Base} and {F\_3} is rotated by  $\gamma$  about the  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$  (not shown in figure!).  
• The transformation matrices  $Base[T]$  is  $Base[T]_{1}^{0}[T]_{2}^{1}[T]_{2}^{2}[T]_{\rho_{1}}^{3}[T] - Last transformation includes I_{13}.$ 

So, it turns out that in this case, so,  $p_1$  to  $F_i$ , they are all same, it is determined by  $\cos \theta_i$ ,  $\cos \psi_i$  and  $\phi_i$ . So, these are the three rotations of each finger  $\theta_1$ ,  $\psi_1$ ,  $\phi_1$ . So, as if you can see in this figure,  $\theta_i$  is rotation at the base here,  $\psi_1$  is the rotation of the second joint,  $\phi_1$  is the rotation at the third joint and likewise, for all the three fingers. So, for each one of these fingers, we can locate the position or the contact point with the object in terms of  $\theta$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\phi$  and the link lengths *l*'s ok.

So, with respect to a {*Base*}, first thing is we need to find the location of the fingers, the coordinates of the fingers, starting point of the fingers with respect to the {*Base*}. So that is known and so, we can find out that the {*Base*} with respect to the first finger is 0, *-d, h,* 0, *d, h* and 0, 0, 0 is that correct? Yes, you can go back and check the figure.

So, we can know the orientation of  $\{F_i\}$  with respect to the  $\{Base\}$  and so on. So,  $\{F_1\}$  and  $\{F_2\}$  are parallel to the  $\{Base\}$  coordinate system,  $\{F_3\}$  is rotated by an angle  $\gamma$  about Y which is not shown in the figure, but you can think of your thumb. So, the first joint of the thumb is not parallel to the first joint of your say the index finger ok, it is rotated by an angle.

So, we can find the position vector of the point  $p_i$  with respect to the {*Base*} by multiplying first {*Base*} to {*F*<sub>1</sub>}, then  ${}^{0}_{1}$ [T],  ${}^{1}_{2}$ [T],  ${}^{2}_{3}$ [T],  ${}^{3}_{p1}$ [T] and the last transformation will include this  $l_{13}$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 62:54)

So, we can extract the last column, so, <sup>*Base*</sup>  $p_1$  is given by <sup>*Base*</sup>  $b_1 + {}^F_1 p_1$  and it turns out that we have this reasonably complicated expression, but basically it contains  $\theta_I$ ,  $\psi_I$ ,  $\phi_I$  and this linked length  $l_{11}$ ,  $l_{12}$ ,  $l_{13}$  o. So, X; X component contains cos, Y components contains sin and then this Z component does not contain  $\theta_I$ .

Similarly, for second length, I can find out <sup>*Base*</sup>  $p_2$  and for third length, we can find out <sup>*Base*</sup>  $p_3$ , but as I mentioned, in the actual model of the three fingered hand, the first coordinate is rotated by a angle  $\gamma$  about Y axis so, we have to pre-multiply whatever we get in all of this with another rotation matrix with a constant  $\gamma$ .

(Refer Slide Time: 63:50)



So, in summary, I have shown you D-H parameters for serial robots, a planar 3R manipulator, a PUMA 560 manipulator and a SCARA robot. The first one is three-degrees of freedom, the second one is spatial six-degrees of freedom and the SCARA is a four-degree of freedom robot.

So, to obtain D-H parameters for parallel manipulators, we basically break the parallel manipulator into serial manipulators. We obtain the D-H parameters for each serial chain and as I showed you examples of a 4-bar mechanism, a 3 R-P-S 3-degree of freedom spatial mechanism and the model of a 3-fingered hand which was a 6-degree of freedom parallel manipulator.

So, once I have the D-H parameters, I can find the orientation and position of any link with respect to some fixed link or any other link and then, from that we can extract the position vectors of point of interest or the orientation of links from the link transformation matrices and then, we can do kinematic analysis ok.

And we will see in the next week, how we can do not next week that week after the next week, how we can do kinematic analysis for both serial and parallel robots based on whatever we have learnt and discussed here.

Thank you very much.