

Evolutionary Dynamics

Supreet Saini

Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Week 07

Lecture 34

Hi, welcome back, everyone. Let us continue our discussion of studying these transition probabilities and from there get to the fixation probability of a new mutation. So, we will start with the number line representation that we have been discussing, and we will define one variable that will help us calculate these fixation probabilities. So, our system could be in any one of these states from 0 to n , and there are a total of N minus 1 possibilities for our system to exist in. So, the system could exist in any one of these N minus one states given by zero to N . And just as a reminder, let's say this is the state of the system.

And what I'm interested in is the state of the system, which is the number of B individuals in the population. What I am interested in is the following question: What is the probability that a new mutation reaches fixation? This is the problem that I am interested in. And by new mutation, I mean that this mutation has just occurred.

That means the state of the system is here. This B -type individual is the new mutation that has occurred. And there is only one such individual carrying that mutation. So, the state of the system is i equal to 1. And what I am interested in is

Understanding that, what is the probability that the system reaches i equal to N ? So, I can also reframe this question as: what is the probability that the system goes from i equal to 1 to i equal to N ? These two are equivalent questions to ask. Now, what we also realize is that the transition of the system from i equal to 1 to i equal to N cannot happen in one step. Every Moran step allows a jump of only 1 or 0. So, if the system is at i , you can go to i plus 1, you can go to i minus 1, or you will remain at i . No other moves are permissible.

So, it has to be a step-by-step procedure through which the system moves from i equal to 1 to i equal to N . I'm going to define—let's for this—and this is the variable that is going to be extremely important in the next few videos. It's: let x_i be the probability that the system reaches N when starting from i . So, which means that x_1 is the probability that the system starts with i equal to 1. And reaches i equal to N , which is the same as this. So, I hope this is crystal clear to everyone that these three things are exactly the same.

The first way of posing this is: what is the probability that a new mutation reaches fixation, which means a new mutation has just entered the population. I am at state i equal to 1, and I am interested in the probability that the system will transition to i equal to N . That is the first way of saying this. The second way of saying this is: what is the probability that the system goes from i equal to 1 to i equal to N ? Here, I was saying the same thing by stating that this is a new mutation. New mutation meaning i equal to 1.

I am saying the same thing here a bit more mathematically: what is the probability that the system transitions from i equal to 1 to i equal to N ? So these two are equivalent. Now I am defining this transition probability in terms of a variable because eventually I want to find an expression for this probability, and that is going to be given by x_i , where x_i is the probability that the system starting from any i is able to reach N . So this transition probability is x_i : the probability that the system goes from 1 to N is x_1 , the probability that the system goes from 2 to N is x_2 , and so on and so forth. Depending on the value of i , I am defining the starting point of the system as i , and I am asking what is the probability that the system will move from this starting point and reach N . So, in the context of its equivalence with these two questions, when I say I am defining a variable called x_i , I am asking that the system starts at i equal to 1 and reaches i equal to N . So these probabilities are all the same.

x_1 , the probability that mutation reaches fixation, and the probability that the system goes from i equal to 1 to N . I hope that's clear to everybody. Okay. So, let me see. Right. So with this, now,

Let's go back to the number line and let me ask a few questions. i minus 1, i , i plus 1, N minus 1. Remember, x_i is what is the probability that the system starts at i and reaches N . Which means when I'm talking about x_1 , the question I'm asking is: what is the probability that the system starts here and ends up here?

What is the fixation probability of a new mutation that I'm interested in? This is the eventual goal—to get to this part. So, with this definition, I want you to take 15 seconds and think about the question: What is x_0 ? What is the value of x_0 ?

I won't say anything and give you 15 seconds to think about this question. So, what you should realize is that X naught means I am asking: What is the probability that the system starts at 0 B-type individuals and reaches N ? Remember that we are studying the system where no new mutations are allowed. So, if the system is starting here, there is no mutation that can occur in the system. And hence, if there is no B-type individual in the population to begin with, the chances that you will have N B-type individuals is just equal to 0.

I hope that makes sense because this number line represents how many B-type individuals are there in the population. And since no mutations are permissible in the model that we are making, we have to have at least one B-type individual for there to be any chance that B-type individuals can reach fixation. In X_0 , we are saying that there is no B-type individual. What is the chance that B-type individuals will take over the population? Obviously zero, because there is no B-type individual to begin with in the population.

We will take another 15 second break and I want you to tell me that what is x_N . Let us pause for another 15 seconds. What you should realize that this question is asking is that what is the probability that system starts at N and reaches N . So the starting point of the system is this point itself. The system is at N , which means all individuals that are present in the population are of B type only. There is no A type individual.

So the system, if it's already at N , it's going to remain at N because it can't move from there. There is no A type individual in the population. Hence, the probability that this system starts at N and reaches N is simply equal to 1. I hope these two transition probabilities are clear to you. Another way to look at this is that what is the chance is coming back from here to here even possible for this system.

Obviously remember Moran process says that from i you can either remain at i go to i plus 1 or go to i minus 1 that is true of internal nodes on this number line but at this terminal node which is the last one you can't go to N plus 1 because we are not allowed. We are not allowing the population to exceed N . Population must always remain N . So that's not allowed. It can remain at N and via the probability P_{NN} which is going to be sum of two which is probability of B born and B dying which can happen because all N

individuals are of B type plus probability of a born probability of a born and a dying that cannot happen because since there is no a type individual a cannot die and since there is no a type individual a cannot be born because a can only be born from a type individuals that are already existing in the population at this point there is no a type individual in the population hence this transition probability is zero hence this is the only way that the system can remain at N

On the other hand N to N-1 would be allowed for that to happen I have to calculate the probability of B dying, probability of B death which can happen and probability of A being born. Probability of B death is some finite number. Using the expression that we have been calculating, we can find that out. But probability of A being born is equal to 0 because A will be born from an existing A individual only. But since there is no A existing individual in the population,

another a individual cannot be born hence this probability is 0 and since these two are multiplying transition probability from N to N minus 1 is also equal to 0 n to n plus 1 is not allowed in that the rules hence the system will always stay at n always stay at the state N under the rules that we are operating at and hence that the system is at x_N and it will continue to remain at N is simply equal to 1. So x_0 and x_N are zero and one. So we know these extreme transition probabilities for these two endpoints. However, what we are interested in is x_1 when the mutation first makes an appearance. To understand that, we will do a slightly tricky mathematical representation

i minus 1, i , i plus 1 going all the way to n . So, remember that x_i represents the probability that the system starts from i and reaches x_N , which represents the transition from this i if i am actually talking about i to n this transition the probability of this transition happening is represented by x_i the probability of this transition happening is represented by x_{i+1} and the probability of this transition happening is x_{i-1} and so on and so forth i can the probability of this transition happening is x_1 this one happening is x_2 this one happening is x_0 which is 0 and so on and so forth so imagine that my system is at state i so what is the probability that I will get here. That is x_i .

I know that. By definition, it is just x_i . But what happens when I start a Moran process from this state of i ? When I start a Moran process from here, one of three things can happen. Either the system will go from i to i plus 1,

which happens with a probability $p_{i \rightarrow i+1}$. But once this happens, the system is here. And what is the probability that from here I reach N ? That is given by x_{i+1} . So, let me write this in words.

This is the probability that from i , the system reaches N . But this route to reaching N has to begin somewhere, and it has to begin with one of these three steps. Probability of $i \rightarrow i-1$, or it remains here, which is probability of $i \rightarrow i$, which we saw is the sum of two probabilities. So while this is a straightforward expression, x_i starts from here. After what happens after one Moran process?

After one Moran process, with probability $p_{i \rightarrow i-1}$, the system finds itself at state $i-1$. With this probability, the system finds itself here. And if it finds itself here, what is the probability that it will reach N ? That is simply x_{i-1} . That is one of the three possibilities that can happen when we start this random walk from I . Alternatively, the system can start from I and after one step remain at I , which happens with probability P_{II} .

But then if the system after one step is at I , what is the probability that from here it will reach N ? That is simply x_i —that's the definition of x_i . So that's just x_i . But the system could also take this step with probability $p_{i \rightarrow i+1}$, $i \rightarrow i+1$, and then what is the probability that once it has reached here, it will reach N ? That is simply x_{i+1} .

So I get this relationship that x_i is dependent on the three transition probabilities and their respective x_i 's. So you will see that x_i is a function of all of this but also a function of x_i itself. And depending on the value of I that I choose, I can write this equation for every value of I . So let's write a few of these equations. If I write this for x_1 , the equation becomes x_1 —that means this quantity, which is the quantity we have been saying repeatedly is the quantity that I am most interested in—is simply equal to the transition probability of $P_{I \rightarrow 0}$ going to 0 because i is 1, so $i-1$ is 0, times x_0 .

$$x_0 = 0$$

$$x_N = 1$$

$$x_i = P(i \rightarrow i+1)x_{i+1} + P(i \rightarrow i)x_i + P(i \rightarrow i-1)x_{i-1}$$

Plus transition probability of 1 to 1 times x_1 plus transition probability of 1. Let me write that again. Transition probability of going from 1 to 2, i to $i + 1$ times x_2 . Now, we discussed in the previous slide that x_0 is just 0. So, I am going to plug that in.

And this term simply vanishes. So I get x_1 as $p_{11} x_1$ plus $p_{12} x_2$. Now I can take the x_1 to the other side because remember x_1 is the quantity that I am interested in. So I get 1 minus $p_{11} x_1$. x_1 is equal to $p_{12} x_2$, which tells me x_1 is simply equal to $p_{12} x_2$ divided by 1 minus p_{11} .

Now, there is a problem here. I got an expression of x_1 , but that expression is actually dependent on x_2 . So, unless I know the value of x_2 , I cannot know the value of x_1 , and hence I need to find x_2 . So, what we will do is we will write this equation for x_2 because remember by plugging a value of i , I can find out. By plugging in a specific value of i , I can find out this equation for a specific value of i . So it turns out that since the quantity I am interested in is x_1 , but to find x_1 , I need x_2 .

So how do I find x_2 ? Let us write this equation first: x_i is equal to transition probability i to $i - 1$ times x_{i-1} , plus transition probability i to i times x_i , plus transition probability i to $i + 1$ times x_{i+1} . And we found out from the first equation that x_1 is simply equal to—let me just take a look— $p_{12} x_2$, transition probability $p_{12} x_2$ divided by 1 minus p_{11} . And I know everything. I know the transition probabilities because I know the respective growth rates.

I know the numbers in the population. The only thing missing for me to find out x_1 , which is the variable of my interest, is knowing the value of x_2 . So for this reason, I will write the equation for x_2 . So let's see what that gives us. That tells me that x_2 —now I'm going to put in this equation x_2 .

i is equal to 2, and that tells me x_2 is equal to probability of 2 to 1 times x_1 , which is fine, plus transition probability of 2 to 2 times x_2 , which is fine. I can just take this to the other side, plus transition probability of 2 to 3 times x_3 . I can—I have an expression of x_2 , x_1 . I'm sorry, let me say that again. I have an expression for x_1 , which is a function of x_2 .

So instead of this x_1 , I can substitute this expression. So this equation here has x_2 here. This will also have an x_2 here. And this already has an x_2 there. So these three terms have x_2 , but I can't solve for x_2 because it turns out x_2 is actually now dependent on x_3 .

So unless I know x_3 , I can't find x_2 . And unless I know x_2 , I cannot find x_1 because x_1 can only be found by knowing x_2 . And x_2 can only be found by knowing x_3 . And similarly, and so on and so forth, x_3 will only be known if I know x_4 , and x_i will only be known if I know x_{i+1} . So, I am stuck here.

Except for the fact that we already found out that x_n is equal to 1. x_n is simply equal to 1. So what that means is the kind of recursive relationship that I am getting here. To find x_i , I need x_{i+1} . That is what I am seeing from the equation.

If I have x_2 , I can find x_1 . If I have x_3 , I can find x_2 , and so on and so forth. So, what that means is that if I have x_n , I can find x_{n-1} . Using that, I can find x_{n-2} . Using that, I can find x_{n-3} , and so on and so forth.

I keep going back until I find the variable x_1 . This comes from a system of linear equations that I can write up. So, this system of linear equations is: I know x_0 is equal to 0. I know x_1 is equal to transition probability $p_{10} x_0$ plus transition probability P_{11} times x_1 plus transition probability $p_{12} x_2$. That is the x_1 equation.

The x_2 equation is: Transition probability $p_{21} x_1$ plus transition probability $p_{22} x_2$ plus transition probability $p_{23} x_3$. Similarly, x_i is equal to $p_{i,i-1} x_{i-1}$ plus $P_{i,i} x_i$ plus probability $p_{i,i+1} x_{i+1}$, going all the way to x_{n-1} as probability of transition from $n-1$ to $n-2$ x_{n-2} plus probability of $n-1$ to $n-1$ times x_{n-1} plus transition probability from $n-1$ to n times x_n . The last equation is x_n , which is just equal to 1.

That is what we started with. We know the endpoints. So these are $n+1$ equations. And the number of variables, because you should be able to see that 1 to n and then one more for 0. So there are these $n+1$ equations.

And there are $n+1$ unknowns because each one of these has to be solved for. And of course, for two of them, the answer is straightforward. But these $n-1$ equations have to be solved simultaneously to get the answer. These $n-1$ equations. And that is what we will look at in the next video onwards.

Thank you.