

Evolutionary Dynamics

Supreet Saini

Chemical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Week 8

Lecture 37

I welcome everyone to this next video of the course. So, last time we set up these equations which were very similar to the ones we had done previously and our idea is to get the fixation probability of an adaptive mutation that confers a benefit to this new mutant that has come up in the population and in this video we will develop those equations while we did not actually solve the equations for the neutral case. Because it is a relatively simple case mathematically, so those of you who are familiar with linear system or linear algebra should be able to solve those equations given all of that we discussed. But we also found two useful ways to think about this where we could solve the system without actually working through the equations. Those were by thinking of marbles in a jar game or just using intuitive logic to arrive at the solution that when a neutral mutant arises, its fixation probability is simply $1/n$ where n is the size of the population.

For an adaptive case, That intuition is very difficult to develop because this is a somewhat involved mathematical derivation. So, it is going to need some work. There is no intuitive analog that is present that we can use to simply arrive at the solution. And secondly, these adaptive mutations are the ones which are going to drive evolution of a population forward, which are going to lead to increase in fitness of these populations.

So we want to understand much more formally how these adaptive mutations fare in the population. So that's why the next task before us is actually working through those equations and solving them to arrive at the fixation probability, which is the critical variable that we are interested in in our representation is X_1 . The math is not complex. It is just very cumbersome because of the representations that we use. It's mostly just bookkeeping.

But if you go through with me through this bookkeeping, you will find that if we understand the representations and what each variable means, the math is just not very

hard. So we can arrive at the answer fairly easily despite the cumbersome bookkeeping. That is the task before us that we will do in this and perhaps also the next video. So. To sort of define our goal, we have, as always, a situation where we have A type individuals.

Their number is, let's say, N minus 1. Their fitness is R_A . And in this population arises a beneficial mutation whose number is 1 and fitness is R_B . And this is in a chemostat. And we are interested in understanding what is the probability that the system transitions from this state to this particular state.

It is only B type individuals in the population that are left. All the A types have been eliminated given the fact that R_B is bigger than R_A . R_B is greater than R_A . What is the probability of this transition? And this is critical because this is how evolutionary change is going to be driven and fitness of population increase over time.

This process obviously doesn't stop here. Eventually, at some point when it's only blue-type individuals, the process will keep repeating itself. When another mutation, which is, let's say, one individual of fitness R_C comes up, and this R_C is bigger than R_B . And now the red individual will outcompete the blue individual. So, these adaptive mutations are what drive the process of evolution forward, and hence we are most interested in them.

So, the same analysis is going to carry forward every single time, and hence we are going to pay particular attention to what is happening here. This is the probability that is of interest to us. In another context, we define this in the form of a number line where we have 0, n , 1, and we ask that if my system is present here, the number of this represents the number of p individuals in the system, and once the mutation happens, I am only going to have one of them. What is the probability that the system reaches state n or the B mutation reaches fixation.

So, we will keep this in mind that these are the bigger goals we are working towards while we do this somewhat bookie, patient, cumbersome math in this video. So, the equation that we have from the context of the number line is simply i plus 1 minus β i minus α i plus 1 minus α i minus β i . And although we are saying that we started off from this point, we are going to discuss a general point I and not one, because as this mutant of our interest moves towards fixation, starting from point I equal to one, it's going to have to pass through every I , and only then it can reach N . So discussing it for a general I is very useful in that context. And we developed this equation last time: x_i is simply going to be equal to β i x_i minus 1 plus 1 minus α i minus β i into x_i plus α i into x_i plus 1.

We also know that x_0 is equal to 0 and x_n is equal to 1. That is our starting point. So this can also be written as a system of linear equations where we have these vector of unknowns, which is X_0, X_1, X_2 going all the way to X_n . This is equal to this matrix. times the vector x_0, x_1, x_2, x_n .

and this can be written as $1 \ 0 \ 0$ all 0s, x_1 can be simply written as β_1 times x_0 and the term here will be $1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1$ and the term here will be α_1 and the rest will be 0s. and so on and so forth. And for the i -th term, this is going to be $i - 1$ zeros and then β_i . Then the next term is going to be $1 - \alpha_i - \beta_i$ and then followed by α_i and then again zeros. So, those of you who are familiar with linear algebra should be able to see that these $n - 1$ equations are These two equations, collectively this is $n + 1$ equations, can be simply written in this matrix form.

And this is akin to solving this problem where this is simply 0 and this is simply 1. So we can solve this system of linear equations whichever way. So now our task is to solve this system of linear equations and particularly find the value of x_1 . That is what we are going to be working towards. And what we will do towards that is we will define a variable.

So what I want is the variable x_1 . And it turns out that getting to x_1 is not that easy, and hence I will define a variable called y_i , which is just equal to $x_i - x_{i-1}$. While it does have some sort of physical significance, we are going to think of y_i as just some mathematical jugglery, some mathematical definitions that we did in order to arrive at our answer that we seek in as easy a system as possible. So what we are going to do is: If this is the definition of y_i , then what is y_1 ?

y_1 is simply $x_1 - x_0$. I know x_0 happens to be 0. We know that from the way we have defined x 's. What is y_2 ? It is simply equal to $x_2 - x_1$.

Both of which I do not know. y_3 is simply $x_3 - x_2$, and I can keep writing this till I get to y_n , which is simply equal to $x_n - x_{n-1}$. Let us write one more: y_{n-1} is going to be equal to $x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}$. So, we have So by defining a new variable y_i like this, I can write the different values of y every time it takes a new value of i . So there are these n such values of y possible. And what I'm going to do is add all of them up.

So, on the left-hand side, I get $y_1 + y_2 + y_3$ going all the way up to y_n , which I can simply write as $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i$. On the right-hand side, what you should

notice is that when I begin to add these terms, The x_1 here gets canceled with x_1 because I am going to add. So, this is a negative x_1 , and this is positive x_1 . So, these two cancel.

Similarly, x_2 s cancel because in y_2 , x_2 is positive, and in y_3 , x_2 is negative. Similarly, x_3 will cancel because in y_3 , x_3 is positive, but in y_4 , x_3 is going to be negative. So, everything cancels out. Nothing remains here except for the first term of the last y_n and the second term of the first y_1 . So, this comes out to be x_n minus x_0 .

But it turns out that I know both of them. x_0 is simply 0, and x_n is simply 1. So, $\sum y_i$ is equal to 1. That is something that is going to prove useful in a little bit. So, I have $\sum y_i$, i going from 1 to n , y_i is equal to 1.

$$y_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$$

$$\sum y_i = 1$$

And I will define here that this definition of y_i is primarily for mathematical ease. It does not have any great physical significance in the process that we are studying. So, $\sum y_i$ is equal to 1. Now, let us go back to the recursive equation that we wrote. That equation said x_i is equal to $\beta_i x_{i-1} + 1 - \alpha_i x_{i-1}$

times x_i plus α_i times x_i plus 1. What I will do is I will open this bracket up, which will give me three terms. So, I get x_i equals $\beta_i x_{i-1} + x_{i-1} - \alpha_i x_{i-1} + \alpha_i x_i + 1$. This is just simple math with what we already had so far.

So, these two cancel. There is x_i on both sides. So, I can just take one over to the other side, and that cancels. And what I will do is I will take the beta terms on the other side. So, I will take this term and this term on the other side.

And then the resulting equation becomes $\beta_i x_i$ because this term becomes positive minus x_{i-1} because this term when it goes there becomes negative this term becomes positive and hence I get this and what is left on the right hand side is $\alpha_i x_i$ plus this term is positive so $x_i + 1 - x_{i-1}$. What this gives me is $x_i + 1 - x_{i-1} = \beta_i x_i + \alpha_i x_i$ is equal to β_i upon α_i times $x_i - x_{i-1}$. So this is what simply

rewriting the equation that we have always had. We have had this equation for a few videos now and simply rewriting it we get this particular form.

But what we should realize here is in the previous slide when we defined this variable y_i that was just x_i minus x_{i-1} . So the definition of y_i was just x_i minus x_{i-1} . This was how I defined y_i . Definition of y_i from the previous slide which means I can write this as $y_i + 1$ is equal to β_i upon α_i times y_i and now I get this

So, let me call this ratio of β_i upon α_i as γ_i . So the two consecutive y_i 's are connected to each other by this ratio γ_i . And that is where that is what I meant when I said that there is a lot of bookkeeping involved in this. But the math is not difficult math. It's just a lot of terms that are introduced. So there is this γ_i which connects y_i to y_{i+1} .

But I also know that $\sum y_i$, if I add all the y_i 's, I get one. I got that from the previous slide. So, we'll start from the first definition of Y s. Y_1 . So, if we start defining the Y s, we get

$$\gamma_i = \frac{y_{i+1}}{y_i}$$

So, first of all, we have an equation that y_{i+1} is equal to γ_i times y_i . We know that y_1 is simply equal to x_1 minus x_0 . That comes from the definition of y_i , but x_0 is simply 0. That we have known for some time. So, the first result I get is y_1 is equal to x_1 .

Secondly, I get, now I will apply this where I get y_2 is simply equal to γ_1 times y_1 , which means, but y_1 is just x_1 . So, I get $\gamma_1 x_1$. So, this means y_2 is just equal to γ_1 times x_1 . If I apply this for i equal to 3, I get y_3 is equal to $\gamma_2 y_2$. But I know y_2 is simply $\gamma_1 x_1$.

So, I get y_3 as $\gamma_2 \gamma_1 x_1$. So, I get y_3 as $\gamma_2 \gamma_1 x_1$. And so on and so forth, I can keep on going. Maybe let us do one more. We get y_4 as equal to $\gamma_3 y_3$, but y_3 is just equal to $\gamma_2 \gamma_1 x_1$.

So, I get y_4 is equal to $\gamma_3 \gamma_2 \gamma_1 x_1$. And hence, y_4 is simply equal to $\gamma_3 \gamma_2 \gamma_1 x_1$, and so on and so forth. I will keep on doing this till I get to y_n , which will simply be equal to $\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2}$, going all the way down to γ_1 times x_1 , and that I am going to write here and simply get y_n is

equal to $\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_{n-2}$. Going all the way down to γ_1 times x_1 . So that is the expression that I have for the different y 's.

But now I am going to combine this. With the fact that I know from the previous slide that $\sum y_i$, if I were to add all the y_i 's from 1 to n , $\sum y_i$ is simply equal to 1. We can go back and check this, and that was when we added all these together, we got all these terms canceled out, and $\sum y_i$ was simply equal to $x_n - x_0$, which was equal to 1. So when I look at this analysis here, I want to add these two—I want to add not these two, but all these n expressions. And on the left-hand side is a fairly straightforward thing, which is $\sum y_i$.

This I don't have to do anything because I know when y_i is summed from 1 to n , this is just equal to 1. The right hand side, I can get X_1 out and I see that I have eliminated every other variable from this analysis and I'm only left with X_1 . And that was the entire goal of doing this exercise that I want to get an expression for the variable X_1 . So I get x_1 if I take x_1 common in the first term there is 1 in the second one there is γ_1 then $\gamma_2 \gamma_1$ then all the way to γ_{n-1} all the way to γ_1 and that is x_1 . This is the equation that I have been seeking all along that now I have this equation which relates x_1 and gives it to all other variables x_1, x_2 everything has been removed and I get an expression for x_1 .

So, let me rewrite this again that 1 is equal to the expression that I get is 1 is equal to x_1 times 1 plus γ_1 plus $\gamma_2 \gamma_1$ plus $\gamma_3 \gamma_2 \gamma_1$ all the way going up to γ_{n-1} , γ_{n-2} times γ_1 . This tells me that the value of x_1 is simply equal to 1 upon this entire expression which is 1 plus γ_1 plus let me rewrite it in this form $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3$ going all the way to $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_{n-1}$ and that is the expression for x_1 . And remember X_1 is the fixation probability that the system is at state 1 and X_1 is the probability that the system reaches this particular state.

When there is starting from a place where there is only one type of the B kind of individual present in the population. So we are getting somewhere here. But now the problem is that this is still difficult to use because I don't have these expressions for these various gammas. Remember that we defined gamma a few slides ago. when we were trying to relate $y_i + 1$ with y_i , γ_i was defined as β_i divided by α_i . So,

γ_i is defined as β_i divided by α_i , where α and β were these probabilities that when I am at a state i , when I am at state i in the system, I have three

fates. Their relative probabilities are β_i is the probability that I will go back from i to $i-1$. α_i is the probability that I will go forward from i to $i+1$ and $1 - \beta_i - \alpha_i$ is the probability that I will remain at i . So, it is this ratio of these two probabilities of β_i is the probability of moving back and α_i is the probability of moving forward. That ratio is γ_i and it turns out that now I can derive a value of x_1

But only if I know the value of γ_i for all possible values of i . I need to know γ_1 , I need to know γ_1 , γ_2 , γ_3 and all the way up to γ_{n-1} . So, that is the next task before us that we have to find out all these values in order to proceed further and get the fixation probability associated with a beneficial mutation that has arisen. And that is what we will continue with in the next video. Thank you.