

Introduction to Econometrics
Professor Sabuj Kumar Mandal
Department of Humanities and Social Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Relaxing the assumptions of CLRM-Multicollinearity and Autocorrelation Part – 1

So, welcome once again to our discussion of econometrics and yesterday we completed our discussion on dummy variable models. So, that means, so far we have gained some knowledge about how to estimate an econometric model. We have learned the estimation technique for two variable linear regression model, then we have also discussed ^{about} multiple linear regression model, and then lastly we discussed about dummy variable model estimation.

Now once you know how to estimate the model before using the coefficients for your policy purpose or for forecasting, what we need to know or what we need to check actually whether the estimated coefficient exhibit the 3 desirable properties and what are those? They are basically unbiasedness, efficiency and consistency property.

And if you recall we discussed about 10 assumptions. If 10 assumptions are satisfied, then only our estimated coefficients, whatever we are estimating using ordinary least square technique that means using the Euler's technique they will exhibit efficiency, unbiasedness and consistency property. But in reality when you get a dataset for estimation, there is no guarantee that all those 10 assumptions are satisfied by your dataset.

Because this is a data taken from the real life world, taken from the behaviour of particular, certain individuals, certain firms or certain countries whatever and they are behaving based on their own way, we have no control on that, so it is quite unlikely that whenever you get a dataset, all those 10 assumptions are satisfied. But rather it is quite likely that one or more than one such assumptions are violated. And if those assumptions are violated, then your estimates will not give you the desirable properties.

So, that is the reason from today's discussion onward what we need to learn is if those assumptions are relaxed, then what would be the consequences on your estimated coefficients and how to detect whether any of those assumptions are violated, and what are the remedial measures if such assumptions are violated? So, that means, today's discussion would be on relaxing the assumptions of classical regression model.

(Refer Slide Time: 3:23)

Relaxing the assumptions of the classical regression model:


Multi-collinearity = multiple perfect linear relationship

- What does it mean?

$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$

- Presence of multiple perfect linear relationship among the explanatory variable

- But presence of even a single perfect linear dependence b/w two explanatory var. also known as multi-collinearity.



Relaxing the assumptions of the classical regression model. To start with we will relax one assumption which we assumed that there should not be any multi collinearity. So, that means, today's topic of discussion is multi collinearity. So, first of all what does it mean when we say multi collinearity?

So, let us assume that we are trying to estimate this model y_i equals to α plus $\beta_1 x_{1i}$ plus $\beta_2 x_{2i}$ plus dot dot dot $\beta_k x_{ki}$ plus u_i . You have k number of explanatory variables. Then the term multi collinearity actually means, if you decompose this is equal to multi plus collinearity and multi means multiple and collinearity means linear relationship.

So, in your econometric model whatever you have specified it may so happen that this x_1 x_2 or x_2 x_3 or x_3 x_4 they are actually showing liner dependence and you have multiple such relationship between x_1 and x_2 , x_3 x_5 or x_6 x_5 x_7 . So, multiple linear relationship indicates the presence of multi collinearity. That is the actual meaning of multi collinearity, but when you estimate a model, even if you get one such linear relationship and that relationship is called perfect linear relationship, collinearity here I will say perfect.

What is the meaning of perfect? I will explain. So, even if you have one such perfect linear relationship, then also we will say that there is a presence of multi collinearity. So, that means, literally even though multi collinearity means multiple perfect linear relationship, when we estimate a model even one such perfect linear relationship also indicates the presence of multi collinearity. That is all.

Now, what is the mathematical way by which you will understand? So, basically this is the definition: multi collinearity means presence of multiple, perfect linear relationship among

the explanatory variables. This is the meaning. But, presence of even a single perfect linear dependence between two explanatory variables also known as multi collinearity. Now I will explain how to write the multi collinearity relationship.

(Refer Slide Time: 9:38)

The slide contains the following handwritten text and equations:

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$\lambda_1 x_{1i} - \lambda_2 x_{2i} = 0 \rightarrow$ perfect linear relationship
 λ_1, λ_2 are two parameters

$$x_{1i} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} x_{2i} \quad \text{linear}$$

$\lambda_1 x_{1i} - \lambda_2 x_{2i} - v_i = 0 \rightarrow$ near perfect relationship
 v_i is error term

consequences of MC: $y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} x_{2i} \right) + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i$

$$= \alpha + \left(\beta_1 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} + \beta_2 \right) x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

from the estimated eqn (2) $\Rightarrow \left(\beta_1 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} + \beta_2 \right) \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ \& } \hat{\beta}_2$ can't be estimated separately.

Let us say that this is our model y_i equals to α plus $\beta_1 x_{1i}$ plus $\beta_2 x_{2i}$ plus $\beta_3 x_{3i}$ plus u_i and we assume that there is perfect linear relationship between x_1 and x_2 . Then the idea here is $\lambda_1 x_{1i} + \lambda_2 x_{2i} = 0$. This is called a perfect linear relationship. Because in that case, you can always represent x_1 where λ_1 and λ_2 they are two parameters. This is the perfect linear dependence.

So, you can easily express or this is you can, if you can put this as minus, it would be easier so that means, I can say that x_{1i} equals to λ_2 by λ_1 into x_{2i} . So, if you know the values of λ_1 , λ_2 and x_{2i} , then you can easily identify what is x_{1i} . This is called a perfect linear relationship. But even though the relationship is not perfect but quite high, then also there would be adverse consequences in your estimates. And how will you represent that? Near perfect relationship.

Let us say that this is x_{1i} minus $\lambda_2 x_{2i}$ minus some v , v equals to v_i equals to 0. Where v_i is an error term. So, I can say that there is perfect linear relationship between x_1 and x_2 , because knowing α_1 , α_2 , and x_{2i} you cannot perfectly predict the value of x_{1i} because of the presence of v_i that is why this is called near perfect relationship. This is the meaning.

Now the question is why should we bother about multi collinearity? That means, if such perfect linear relationship exists between two or more than two explanatory variables, what are the consequences of multi collinearity? So, let us talk about consequence of multi collinearity. In short I will write MC, consequence of multi collinearity. So, when I say that x_{1i} equals to λ_2 by $\lambda_1 x_{2i}$, I can easily substitute the value of x_{1i} in this equation and then what I will get?

Substituting the value of x_{1i} into the original equation, what I can say that, then y_i equals to α plus β_1 into x_{1i} . In place of x_{1i} , I will write λ_2 by $\lambda_1 x_{2i}$ plus $\beta_2 x_{2i}$ plus $\beta_3 x_{3i}$ plus u_i . So this again I can write α plus β_1 into λ_2 by λ_1 plus β_2 , β_1 I can take this as common plus $\beta_3 x_{3i}$ plus u_i . Now if you estimate this model, the coefficient of x_{2i} would be this. So, from this model, let us say this is equation 1 and after substituting this is equation 2.

So, from 2, from the estimated equation 2 what you will get? β_1 into λ_2 by λ_1 plus β_2 . so that means I will get only the combined value of β_1 and β_2 while my objective was to get β_1 and β_2 separately. So, that means, when there is a presence of perfect linear dependence among two variables so that means I cannot get $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ separately. That is the problem. So, I will get a combined value of $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:11)

$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{1n} & x_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$
 $|X| = 0$
 $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$
 near perfect MC: $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta \Rightarrow$ unbiasedness is satisfied
 consistency: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[|\hat{\beta} - \beta| < \delta] = 1 - \epsilon$ is also satisfied
 $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = ?$ will we get efficient $\hat{\beta}$

Moreover, if you have perfect linear dependence, then what will happen? If you recall yesterday's class while discussing about the dummy variable trap, what we say that your X matrix looks like in this you have 1 1 1 1 1 and then if x1 and x2 they are perfectly linearly dependent, then what will happen? Your x1i, let us say this is x1i and this column is let us x1 1 and this is x21. So, this column and this column they are now perfectly dependent.

So, as a result which once again if that is the case, then what will happen? That the determinance actually become 0. Determinance of this matrix is 0 when there is perfect linear dependence. So, that means, beta hat what you are estimating as $x'x^{-1}x'y$ that you cannot estimate when there is perfect linear dependence between x1 and x2 variable. That is the problem because your two columns of this X matrix will be perfectly linearly dependent.

So, that means, from this approach and from this matrix approach, you can easily understand that when there is perfect linear dependence, then your model cannot be estimated. But what will happen, what will happen if you do not have perfect linear dependence? Let us say this is near perfect linear relationship. What will happen? What would be the consequences of that?

Now, let us say we have near perfect MC, what would be the consequence? See, the consequence would be in your estimates. If you take expectation of beta hat, is still beta. That means, unbiasedness property is still satisfied. So, this implies unbiasedness is satisfied. And then beta hat and beta, the difference between these two is and probability of getting such beta when n tends to infinity equals to 1 minus lambda is also satisfied.

So, that means, this is called unbiasedness and what is this called? This is called consistency property. So consistency property is also satisfied. So, even if there is multi collinearity or near perfect multi collinearity, you will still have unbiased estimate, you will still have beta hat which will show the consistency property. But the problem that will happen is the variance of this. What will happen to the variance of beta hat? That means, basically we are talking about the property of efficiency.

Will we get efficient parameter, efficient beta hat? Now what does efficiency mean? Efficiency means basically if you estimate a model using ordinary least square method, then if all other assumptions are satisfied, then Euler's estimate will give you minimum variance in the class of all other unbiased estimates of beta estimated by different estimation technique. But in a given sample when you have endured with particular sample an estimate the model what will happen to the various of beta hat form that particular sample that we need to understand.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:05)

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i^2} \quad x_i = (x_i - \bar{x})$$

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i$$

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + u_i$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i^2 (1 - r_{12}^2)}$$

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + u_i$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i^2 (1 - R_j^2)}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{1 - R_j^2}\right) : \text{Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)}$$

$$x_j = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \lambda_3 x_3 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k + u$$

R_j^2 : Regressing j^{th} explanatory var on all other explanatory var and get R_j^2 .

And to know about the variance of beta hat in presence of perfect or near perfect multi collinearity, first of all what is variance of beta hat? Variance of beta hat is defined as sigma square divided by summation xi square where xi equals to capital Xi minus X bar. So, when your model involves only one explanatory variable, that means this is applicable when your model is yi equals to alpha plus beta xi plus ui. So, this is the variance formula when you have only one explanatory variable in the right hand side.

Now, when your model involves more than one explanatory variable $\alpha + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i$ or let us say I have only two for the time being $\alpha + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + u_i$, then this particular variance formula changes as now variance of β_1 in this case variance of β_1 would be σ^2 divided by $\sum x_{1i}^2 - \frac{(\sum x_{1i})^2}{n}$. You have now two explanatory variable. So, that means the changes will happen like you will have $\sum x_{1i}^2 (1 - R^2)$.

So, similarly now this $1 - R^2$ when you have multiple linear regression model, let us say you have now your model now changes as $\alpha + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$. And now suppose you want to get the variance of β_j , β_j . So, what you will do? You have multiple linear relationship and now when you have multiple variables how this formula, this particular formula will change? When you have two, you can easily calculate the correlation between 1 and 2 and you can square it off.

But when you have k number of explanatory variable and you want to get the variance of a particular variable, let us say this is β_j . So, how will you modify this formula? This modify, modification of this formula will happen like $\sum x_{1j}^2 - \frac{(\sum x_{1j})^2}{n}$ into $1 - R_j^2$. And what is R_j^2 ? R_j^2 is basically how will you get? By regressing j th explanatory variable on all other explanatory variable and get R_j^2 and that is known as R_j^2 . Is this clear?

So, when you have multiple regression model, then this R_j^2 is nothing but the R_j^2 from the R_j^2 what you get by regressing the j th explanatory variable on all other explanatory variable. And why I am regressing this? Because this regression basically shows if other explanatory variable can explain a significant portion in the variation in x_j that basically shows that all other variables are highly correlated with the j th explanatory variable, quite simple.

So, that means, they are basically asking you to run this type of regression. So, this is your $x_j = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \lambda_3 x_3 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k + u$. So, that means I am regressing the j th explanatory variable on all other explanatory variable and collecting the R_j^2 and that R_j^2 is basically this R_j^2 . That is how you can change the variance formula.

Now, this $1 - R_j^2$, $1 - R_j^2$, this is given a specific name in the literature which is called variance inflating factor. What I am saying? Variance inflating factor or in short, VIF.