

Introduction to Econometrics
Professor Sabuj Kumar Mandal
Department of Humanities and Social Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology, Madras
Lecture 57

Qualitative Response Models-Linear Probability Model, Logit and Probit Models Part 2
(Refer Slide Time: 00:14)

Logit model: $p_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}$, where $z_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$

Odds ratio $\leftarrow \left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i} \right) = e^{z_i}$

$\ln \left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i} \right) = z_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i \rightarrow$ we can estimate this model.

$y_i = 1 \rightarrow p_i = P(y_i = 1)$
 $y_i = 0 \rightarrow (1-p_i) = P(y_i = 0)$

Putting (1,0) in equation (3)
 $\ln \left(\frac{1}{1-1} \right) = \ln(\infty)$
 $\ln \left(\frac{0}{1-0} \right) = \ln(0)$

p_i is actually not equivalent to y_i
- OLS is not applicable to estimate the Logit model.

p_i is probability of owning a car or probability of owning a house, p_i by $1 - p_i$ is called odds in favour of happening the event or odds in favour of owning a car since the numerator is p_i will say that this is odds in favour of owning a car. If we calculate $1 - p_i$ by p_i , that is also odds ratio but then that will indicate odds against happening that event so here p_i by $1 - p_i$ is your dependent variable and we can take log of this.

Now, once if you think of estimating this model you have information on y and y can take two values y_i equals to either 1 or 0. y_i equals to 1 and which basically indicates the individual is owning a house and this is indicating not owning a house that means p_i is probability y_i equals to 1 and $1 - p_i$ is basically probability y_i equals to 0.

Now, to estimate this model, first of all you need to have information on the dependent variable, so we do not have information on p_i rather we have information on y_i . Now, apparently you may think of you can put y_i value in this equation and you will construct the dependent variable.

Now, if you put 1 and 0 here what will happen, if you put 1 and 0 putting 1 0 in equation 1 in equation 6 what you will get, so if you put 1 then that would become log of 1 by 1 minus 1,

so that would become 1 by 0, so that means log of this would become your dependent variable and if you put 0 here then this would become log of 0 by 1 minus 0 equals to log of 0, so this would become your dependent variable. Can we estimate this model?

No, that means we cannot actually put 1 and 0 in this equation y because see here, what we are thinking that π_i is actually equivalent to y_i but that is actually not the case π_i indicates the probability which will lie between 0 and 1, but here what you observed is the realisation y_i is a realisation some people they have owned the car, that is why you have put 1 some people they do not have got that is 0, so that is the realised thing, but probability of owning a car is not observed rather what you observe is actually the ultimate realisation whether the person has taken or not that is the decision.

After taking the decision, what we observe is a realised fact but what we are thinking here in terms of this model is \log of π_i by $1 - \pi_i$, which is not actually observable, so what do we can say that π_i is actually not equivalent to y_i so that is why this model we cannot estimate using the OLS method because the dependent variable itself we do not have information. We cannot put 1 and 0 here and we cannot estimate the model so that means OLS is not applicable to estimate the Logit model we need to go for a different route.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:07)

The slide content is as follows:

Estimation of Logit model
Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

What is a Likelihood function?

PDF: $P(O|\theta)$ known
 O : observed thing
 θ : set parameters

$L(\theta|O)$
↓
?

Binomial distribution $B(n, p)$
If a coin is tossed 15 (n) times
what is the prob. of getting
5 heads (success)?

Reverse problem:
 n is known / sample size is known
How many people own car.
parameters?
→ Likelihood fun

So, we are going to discuss estimation of Logit model using maximum likelihood function or maximum likelihood estimate. So let us say I am writing maximum likelihood estimation which in short known as a MLE. Before we talk about maximum likelihood estimation we need to know what is a likelihood function. This is very important likelihood function.

The concept of likelihood function is actually closely related with probability distribution or probability density function. So if you know probability distribution function or probability density function then you can easily understand the concept of likelihood function.

Now, what is a probability distribution function in any probability distribution function if you think of let us say binomial, pi show or any other type of probability distribution function, suppose you are thinking of the simple probability distribution function the binomial function and the probability distribution function how it is characterised, let us am writing PDF, Probability Distribution or Probability Density Function, PDF is characterised by a observed thing given the parameters, I will explain this, when I am specifying a particular Probability Density Distribution Function lets a binomial I can find out from there.

If I toss a coin, let us say 15 times, what is the probability of having, let us say, 5 heads? so let us say I am thinking of binomial distribution function, which is given by 2 parameters in n and p , so I can answer this type of question if a coin is tossed n times that means, let us say 15 times, what is the probability of getting 5 heads. let us this getting a head we are calling it this is success, that you can find out if the probability distribution function is given now, if I

reverse this question, so if I reverse this question here the parameters are known and you are trying to find out the probability of getting 5 heads or out of 15 trials.

So, you are tossing the coin 15 times that means, number of trials is 15 and you can find out what is the probability of getting 5 successes or 5 heads in 15 trials. What is the reverse of this problem? Can you think of the reverse problem? I know in my sample how many trials I have made, let us say 15 that means, let us say I am asking 15 individuals. So, my sample size n is 15 so there are the reverse problem n is known that means, sample size is known, I also know that, in that out of these 15 individuals, how many people they have car so how many people owned a car, here I was trying to find out that how many head I will get that means, what is the number of successes here if I define that owning a car is actually success of that trial that means I already know out of 15 individuals how many of them are actually having a car they know. What I do not know is actually the parameters. Parameters are unknown.

So, that means in a sample size of 15 individuals, 5 individuals are having a car. That is my sample and I have already observed that sample here in terms of O is actually the observed thing. In probability distribution function you are trying to find out probability of getting 5 success that is denoted by O and what is known to you is θ which is the set of parameters, so here O indicates observe thing and θ basically indicates set up parameters in binomial distribution function, this P the parameter is actually known for a single toss it is half that is known to you.

So, given θ set of parameters in probability distribution function, you are trying to find out probability of O that means probability of 5 heads, but in a likelihood function I already know that, that is known to me, what I try to find out is θ , so this is unknown that means parameter are unknown here this is known that is why I say that likelihood function is just the reverse of probability density or distribution function in likelihood function, I would like to get the parameters because a set of parameters will only define the PDF so that I can observe that sample with maximum probability that is why it is called maximum likelihood function.

That means, in short what I want, I already observed the sample where out of 15 individuals 5 individuals are owning a car, you give me the set of parameters that will maximise the likelihood of observing that particular sample, because from a given population, I could have observed some of the samples, some other samples of 15 individuals and in that sample, it

would have happened that out of 15, 2 individuals that are having a car or 14 individuals having a car or 10 individuals having a car.

So, if you change the parameter, you will get different type of probability function distribution function, so I would like to get that set of parameter that will maximise the likelihood of observing that particular sample and that is the technique, what we apply here in maximum likelihood method instead of minimising the error term that we use to do in ordinary least square method.

So, this approach is little different maximum likelihood estimates is different from OLS in that regard in OLS to get the parameters, we minimise some of the error terms that mean summation U_i hat square, here we are maximising the likelihood, what is the likelihood? Likelihood of observing that particular sample were out of 15 individuals I already observed that 5 individuals they own a car, so that is just reverse or probability distribution function. In probability distribution function given theta I would like to estimate the probability of observe thing and what is observe thing, that probability of heads that I would like to observe here in likelihood function I have already observed the O, but I would like to get theta set of parameters that will maximise the probability of observing O that means, probability of observing a particular sample in which 5 out of 15 individuals own a car that is actually the likelihood function, so this reverse problem will give you a likelihood function. Now, how will you apply this likelihood function in the context of Logit model estimation?

(Refer Slide Time: 18:40)

The whiteboard content includes the following text and equations:

- $L = P(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n) \quad n_1 + n_2 = n$
- $= P(Y_1) \cdot P(Y_2) \cdot P(Y_3) \dots P(Y_n)$
- Suppose I have arranged the sample in such a way that the first n_1 individuals own a car and next n_2 individuals don't own a car.
- $= P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdot P_3 \dots P_{n_1} \cdot (1 - P_{n_1+1}) \cdot (1 - P_{n_1+2}) \dots (1 - P_n)$
- $L = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} P_i \cdot \prod_{i=n_1+1}^n (1 - P_i)$
- $L = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} P_i^{Y_i} (1 - P_i)^{1 - Y_i}$, this happens as $Y_i = 1$ for first n_1 obs and $Y_i = 0$ for next n_2 obs.
- $\log L = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} Y_i \log(P_i) + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^n (1 - Y_i) \log(1 - P_i)$ [∵ $\log L$ is a monotonic transformation of L]
- $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \Rightarrow$ maximize probability of observing that sample
- $P_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\alpha + \beta x_i)}}$

Handwritten notes on the left side of the whiteboard:

- * L, P_i will be used for inference making
- OLS: $\min \sum U_i^2$
- MLE: $\max \log L$
- ∵ should be used in sample only
- $\max \{ \alpha, \beta \}$

So, let us say that the likelihood function is L denoted as probability of observing a sample and as you know, probability of observing a sample means probability of observing y_1 probability of observing y_2 probability of observing y_3 , dot dot dot probability of observing y_n .

So, your sample consists of n number of responses basically y takes the value 1 and 0 this is the response function, so if you observe all your y_i is that is basically the likelihood function and this so that means, likelihood function is the joint probability of observing $y_1 y_2 y_3$, dot dot dot y_n so that means, this is nothing but probability of y_1 then probability of y_2 then probability multiplied by probability of y_3 then probability of observing y_n this is the likelihood function, which is nothing but the joint probability distribution function.

Now, suppose I have arranged the sample in such a way, that the first n_1 observation n_1 individuals, own a car and next n_2 individuals do not own a car that is how I have actually arranged first that means, where n_1 plus n_2 equals to n which is the total sample size. So, that means, what I am saying probability $y_1 y_2$ dot dot dot n_1 up to n_1 it is actually p_i , because p_i is the probability of observing the sample.

So, from here what I can write equals to p_1 multiplied by p_2 multiplied by p_3 how long this will continue up to p of n_1 , because first n_1 observations, first in 1 individuals will own a car that is why $p_1 p_2 p_3$ dot dot dot p_{n_1} and what would be the next term, next term would be 1 minus p n_1 plus 1, because next person does not have a car that is why 1 minus p for first n_1

$p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_{n_1}$, next observation, next individual does not own a cat that is why $1 - p_{n_1 + 1}$ then $1 - p_{n_1 + 2} \dots 1 - p_n$, that is how I have arranged the data.

Now, if you write this expression in a concise format, then what you can write this is nothing but product of i running from 1 to n_1 p_i and then this is multiplied by i running from $n_1 + 1$ to n $1 - p_i$ and this again you can write as $\prod p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1 - y_i}$, now this step is little interesting, how I could write this step from this step to this step you will need to understand how.

See this happens, because y_i equals to 1 for the first n_1 observations and if y_i equals to 1 then this would become p_i and y_i equals to 0 for the next n_2 observations that is why, so it will become $1 - p_i$ because this will become 0 so ultimately this will result in $p_i^{n_1} (1 - p_i)^{n_2}$ this happens because as y_i equals to 1 for first n_1 observations and y_i equals to 0 for next n_2 observation now, if you take log then what will happen?

If you take log of L equals what you can write this you can say that $y_i \log p_i + (1 - y_i) \log (1 - p_i)$ i running from 1 to n_1 and here i running from $n_1 + 1$ to n why I have done that, because $\log L$, why I did this because $\log L$ is a monotonic transformation of L , so this is the thing $\log L$ equals to $y_i \log p_i + (1 - y_i) \log (1 - p_i)$ now, you can substitute the value of p_i , what is the value of p_i where p_i equals to $\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha + \beta}}$, this is your p_i , so you can substitute p_i here and then you are trying to maximise these with respect to α and β , so that means, I am trying to maximise this with respect to α and β .

So, I want that particular set of α and β that will maximise this my likelihood function and this likelihood function is basically probability of observing that particular sample in which out of 15 individuals, 5 individuals own a car this is the mechanism of maximum likelihood estimates for estimating the Logit model instead of minimising the errors some summation U_i^2 , we are actually maximising $\log L$ with respect to α and β and you will get the optimum α^* and β^* that will maximise probability of observing that sample.

So, I will take that particular $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$, so that means $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ then will be used for inference making, so in OLS I was minimising some of U_i^2 so OLS

in case of OLS what you are doing, you are minimising summation \hat{U}^2 , but in MLE, what you are doing is actually maximising log of L with respect to alpha and beta that is the difference between this and this and there are certain advantages of this MLE the advantage is that, this alpha star and beta star what you get after maximising log L that is basically the asymptotically they are asymptotically efficient and they approach normality that is also asymptotically, so they are efficient asymptotically that means what?

That means they are efficient in large sample and they approach normal they follow the normal distribution that is also asymptotically that means, the MLE method should be applied in large sample, so whenever you are estimating Logit model you have to keep in mind since the underlying estimation strategy is maximum likelihood and alpha hat and beta hat they are efficient asymptotically that means, they saw the efficiency property in large sample you must have a large sample to estimate your Logit model.

So, we should not apply the Logit model in a small sample like 30 or 40 we should have minimum 200 to 250 observations for Logit model to estimate, so with this we are closing our discussion today, tomorrow we will discuss about another class or another particular model of Binary response model or Qualitative response model and then we will also estimate this we will see how to estimate the model using a particular data set.