

Environmental & Resource Economics
Professor Sabuj Kumar Mandal
Department of Humanities and Social Sciences
Indian Institute of Technology Madras
Optimum extraction of renewable resources and Tragedy of Commons Part - 1

(Refer Slide Time: 00: 24)

Suddenly, human being discovered that fish is a commercial species for its taste.
 - Economics will enter into our discussion

our objective for all is

$$\max_{\{y(t)\}} \int_0^T [p(t)y(t) - C(y(t), x(t))] e^{-rt} dt$$

s.t. $\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t)) - y(t)$

Our control variable is $y(t)$ here.

Current value Hamiltonian \bar{H}

$$\bar{H} = p(t)y(t) - C(y(t), x(t)) - \lambda(t)[g(x(t)) - y(t)]$$

F.O.C $\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial y(t)} = 0 \Rightarrow p(t) = C'(\cdot) + \lambda(t) \Rightarrow \text{Price} = MC_c + \text{shadow price}(\lambda)$

Legend:
 $y(t)$: rate of catch of fish / rate of harvest
 $x(t)$: stock
 $C(y(t), x(t))$: cost of catching fish
 $p(t)$: price of fish

Now what is happening suddenly, let us assume, suddenly human being discovered that that fish is a commercial species for its test. So, obviously, economics will come in, economics will enter into our discussion. So, once again, let us assume that y_t is rate of extraction or rate of catch of fish x_t indicate stock, then c is again a function of cost of catch and then p_t is the price of fish.

So, our objective functional is maximize. What we are going to maximize? Integrations 0 to t again, p_t into y_t the revenue p_t into y_t minus c of y_t into x_t , and e to the power minus rt , dt . The same objective functional in the like non-renewable resource, but the constraint will change as \dot{x}_t , that means, rate of change of the stock is equals to g of x_t growth minus harvest. So, earlier it was \dot{x}_t minus y_t .

If you go back and see in the context of non-renewable resource, since non-renewable resource cannot grow within a economically feasible time horizon, we denoted \dot{x}_t equals to minus of y_t . Here \dot{x}_t equals to g of x_t minus y_t . So, our control variable once again is y_t . So, our control variable is y_t here. Rate of catch or you can say that rate of harvest, that is it rate of harvest.

Now, the optimization requires once again the first step is what do you remember? The first step is formulating the current value Hamiltonian. So, I will take it in the next page or let us say that the current value Hamiltonian denoted by \bar{H} , \bar{H} equals two what I will write, simply p_t into y_t minus c function y_t into x_t t minus ρt into this g of x_t , g function minus y_t . This the current value Hamiltonian, and maximization not optimization required differentiating this function with respect to y_t .

Differentiating this function with respect to y_t , and say dt equals to 0 that is coming from the first order condition. And these implies, if I differentiate the current value of Hamiltonian with respect to date of extraction what I will get p_t equals to C prime plus ρt . So, that means, price should be equal to cost of extraction plus the shadow price which is similar to our case previous case. So, price would be equals to marginal cost of extraction plus shadow price, which is ρt . So, this condition is similar to our non-renewable resource also.

(Refer Slide Time: 08:18)

The slide contains the following content:

Handwritten Notes:

- Exerc 20: indicate the benefit of preserving the resource for the future.
- $\rho f(x)$ - total cost of preserving the resource for the future.

Equations:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial x(t)} = \frac{\partial c(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)} + f(t) \cdot \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial x(t)} = -\frac{\partial c(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)} - f(t) \cdot \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)} \dots \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\boxed{f(t) = \rho f(t) - \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial x(t)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial x(t)} = \rho f(t) - \dots \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\text{(1), (2)} \Rightarrow f(t) - \rho f(t) = -\frac{\partial c(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)} - f(t) \cdot \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{f(t)}_{1st} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial c(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)}}_{2nd} + \underbrace{f(t) \cdot \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial x(t)}}_{3rd} = \rho f(t) \dots \text{--- (3)}$$

Interpretation:

- 1st \Rightarrow Capital gain
- 2nd \Rightarrow stock effect on cost of extraction
- 3rd \Rightarrow Benefit due to biological growth

Total from presently the resource

Suddenly, human being discovered that fish is a commercial species for its taste.
 - Economics will enter into our discussion

Our objective functional is

$$\max_{\{y(t)\}} \int_0^T [\lambda(t)g(t) - C(y(t), x(t))] e^{-\rho t} dt$$
 s.t. $\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t)) - g(t)$

Our control variable is $y(t)$ here.
 Current value Hamiltonian \bar{H}

$$\bar{H} = \lambda(t)g(t) - C(y(t), x(t)) - \rho(t) [g(x(t)) - g(t)]$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial y(t)} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(t) = C'(\cdot) + \rho(t) \Rightarrow \text{Price} = MC_c + \text{shadow price } (\rho)$$

Legend:
 $g(t)$: rate of catch of fish / rate of harvest
 $x(t)$: stock
 $C(y(t), x(t))$: Cost of catching fish
 $\lambda(t)$: price of fish

Now, from the second step what we need to do is basically differentiating the Hamiltonian with respect to x_t and set it equals to 0. Sorry, this is you know second order condition is coming from this actually that second order condition from second order condition we need to differentiate this function with respect to Δx_t .

So, this is basically how I am deriving the second order necessary condition, and this is equals to if we differentiate H function with respect to x_t , then what I will get, x is here on the cost function, so I will get $\Delta c \Delta x_t$ and I have x in the growth function also minus ρt into $\Delta g \Delta x_t$. So, from here what I can say that minus of this equals to Δx_t equals to minus of Δc , Δx_t minus or sorry plus of ρt into $\Delta g \Delta x_t$.

Now, what we will do this minus $\Delta \bar{H} \Delta x_t$ that is basically equals to we will put the value of this from our earlier condition. What is that condition we derived? If you recall from earlier condition that we get that ρt this is let us say equation 1. This is let us say equation one what we have derived so far, and then, what we will do, previously we got one condition $\rho \dot{t}$ if you recall $\rho \dot{t}$ equals to r into ρt minus r into ρt minus $\Delta \bar{H} \Delta x_t$ this is one condition, which we derived earlier this condition.

So, that means, I can write $\Delta \bar{H} \Delta x_t$ equals to ρt minus of this. So, from here what I can write that minus $\Delta \bar{H} \Delta x_t$ equals to I can say ρt minus r into ρt . So, what I will do, I will put this value here. Let us say this is equation 2. So, from equation 2, 1 and 2 or I can write that $\rho \dot{t}$ minus r into ρt equals to minus $\Delta c \Delta x_t$ plus ρt into $\Delta g \Delta x_t$ or what we can write that, what we can write is that, this r into ρt we will take other

side and then we will say that $\rho \dot{t} + \delta c \dot{\Delta} x_t$ minus, so what we will get, minus ρt into δg into Δx_t .

So, this is this is let us say, we are calling equation 3, sorry, this this then would become equals to $\rho \dot{t}$, this is let us say equation 3. Now, we need to interpret this equation 3. So, let me check whether we did everything correctly this is this so, this should be equals to minus of this so, $\rho \dot{t}$ minus, $\rho \dot{t}$ equals to ρt minus δH equals to this. So, sorry, this is plus actually.

This is plus, so this would become minus, this has become minus. And then, if this is minus then $\rho \dot{t}$ equals to this so, ultimately, this would become this so ultimately this would become this should become plus. Now, in this equation 3 basically again like the non-renewable resource case, in this case equation 3 indicates total benefit of preserving the renewable resource. So, equation 3 indicates total benefit of preserving the resource, renewable resource for tomorrow.

That is what, alright, this is what we see. So, here this should be plus. So, unlike, the non-renewable resource when we talk about renewable resource the current value Hamiltonian is this plus λt into this because if you put it plus here, that means, you are deducting the extraction plus adding the growth. So, this should be plus, and as a result of which when you differentiate this, this should be also plus.

So, there are three components in equation 3. Let us say this is the first component, first, then this is the second component and this is the third component. So, first component indicate capital gain like the non-renewable resource, capital gain. Second component indicates what? Similar to the non-renewable resource stock effect on cost of extraction on marginal cost of extraction or on cost of extraction. Now, the third component of the total benefit is actually missing in non-renewable resource.

This additional term, what is this indicating look at this. This is basically benefit for biological growth, biological growth was not there in the context of non-renewable resource. If I preserve the resource for tomorrow, tomorrow my fishes will grow, and if I want to convert that growth into benefit obviously, I have to multiply that with ρt because that is the shadow price, that is why third component basically indicate benefit due to biological growth, which was absent earlier in the context of non-renewable resource.

So, these three are the benefit, if I preserved the resource for tomorrow, and that should be equals to at least r into ρt . I can always catch the fish, and the revenue part I can keep it in bank, will give interest at the rate of r , so my total benefit would be r into ρt . That is what we meant. So, this is called, this is the total benefit. So, this is total benefit from preserving the resource and r into ρt that basically indicates total cost of preserving the resource for tomorrow.

So, at equilibrium, total benefits should be equals to total cost, that is why this equation says, total benefit of preserving one unit of renewable resource should be equals to total cost or preserving the resource at equilibrium. So, when these two things are equal then we say that we are on the optimum path of extraction.

(Refer Slide Time: 23:19)

If there is no radical change in demand & ss. condn.
 F.N.C & S.N.C leads to a steady state sit, where

$$\dot{x}(t) = 0$$

$$g(x(t)) = y(t)$$

When $\dot{x}(t) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \dot{p}(t) = 0$

So, at steady state, so if there is no radical change in demand and cost condition, there is no radical change in demand and supply condition then first order necessary condition FNC and SNC second order necessary condition leads to a steady state situation where basically x dot t growth of the stock equals to 0 that means g of x t growth is basically equals to the rate of harvest.

And when x dot t equals to 0 that implies there is no capital gain also ρ dot t also equals to 0 because this capital gain arises due to change in stock only. If there is no change in stock, then there is no change in price. Because we assume there is no radical change in demand and supply condition. So, with this we are closing our discussion today. and we will meet again in our next class to discuss the remaining portion of this resource economics. Thank you.